IN RE: KENTUCKY RIVER AUTHORITY

MEETING NO. 126

February 15, 2006
1:00 p.m.

Kentucky Higher Education Assistance Authority
100 Airport Road
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

APPEARANCES

Mr. Bob Ware ACTING CHAIRMAN

Mr. William Grier
Mr. William Welty
Mr. John Martin
Mr. L. C. Reese
Mr. Rex Morgan
Mr. R. C. Day
Mr. Randall Christopher
Mr. Daryl Newby
Mr. Warner Caines
MEMBERS OF THE KENTUCKY
RIVER AUTHORITY

Mr. Paul Gannoe Proxy for Secretary Robbie Rudolph FINANCE CABINET

Ms. Susan Bush Proxy for Secretary LaJuana Wilcher ENVIRONMENTAL AND PROTECTION CABINET

Also Present:

Mr. Stephen Reeder
Ms. Sue Ann Elliston
Mr. Don Morse
Mr. David Hamilton
Mr. Earl Gulley
Ms. Kayla Elliston

CAPITAL CITY COURT REPORTING
MELINDA L. SPARKS, COURT REPORTER
900 CHESTNUT DRIVE
FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601
(502) 223-1118

AGENDA

Call to order
Election of Officers 3 - 13
Approval of minutes13
Financial report - Don Morse
Report on 2006/2008 Budget
Report on 2006 Legislature - Stephen Reeder40 - 43
Proposed purchase of property adjacent to Lock and Dam 4 - Stephen Reeder43 - 50
Proposal for use of Lock and Dam 12 property50 - 65 - Judge Wallace Taylor, Estill County
Report on fish habitat in the Kentucky River and its tributaries
Engineer's Report - David Hamilton93 - 96
Director's Report - Stephen Reeder96 - 101
Chairman's Report - Bob Ware101 - 102
Other Business102
Adiourn 102

INDEX OF MOTIONS

CI	HAIRMAN :	TO NOMINATE BOB WARE FOR IN 2006. MOTION ALSO MADE NATIONS CEASE
MR.	WELTY:	Steve, I put Bob Ware's name as Chair. MR. MARTIN: Second. MR. DAY: Second.
MR.	REEDER:	Motion made by Mr. Welty and seconded, I believe, first by the Mayor. MR. WELTY: Move nominations cease.
MR.	REEDER:	Got a motion that nominations cease. MR. GRIER: Second.
MR.	REEDER:	Got a second. Who seconded that? Bill? Okay. Bob Ware's name has been put before you. Motion has been made and seconded. I'll concur that the motions on the Chairman cease. All in favor. All opposed. Mr. Ware is the new Chairman for 2006.
AI CI NO	ND RANDAI HAIRMAN. OMINATIOI	TO NOMINATE BILL WELTY LL CHRISTOPHER FOR VICE MOTION MADE TO CEASE NS. MR. WELTY REMOVED HIS NOMINATIONS9, LINE 22
		Mr. Welty. Motion for Mr. Welty. Motion for Mr. Welty as Vice-Chair. MR. GRIER: I'll second. MR. REEDER: Second by Mr. Grier.
MR.	REESE:	I'd like to make a motion to nominate Mr. Christopher.
MR.	REEDER:	Motion made by Judge Reese to nominate Mr. Christopher, Randall Christopher. Do I hear a second? Do I have a second? MR. GRIER: Second.
MR.	REEDER:	Need a second to get this on the floor. MR. REESE: Bill seconded it.
MR.	REEDER:	You seconded? I didn't hear you. MR. GRIER: Yes.
MR.	REEDER:	Motion made and seconded to put Mr. Christopher's name on the floor. Okay. Any further nominations?

INDEX OF MOTIONS

(Continued)

MR. DAY: Move the nominations cease.

MR. REEDER: Motion by Mr. Day nominations cease.

MR. GANNONE: Second.

MR. REEDER: Seconded by Paul Gannone. We'll pass out the

ballots. We'll recess and pass out the

ballots.

MR. WELTY: Mr. Chairman, so we can move right along, I'll

just pull my name from that, if it's okay.

MR. REEDER: He can withdraw.

MR. WELTY: Okay. MR. REEDER: Okay?

MR. WELTY: Not a problem.

MR. REEDER: Mr. Welty has withdrawn. We don't have to have

an election. So, Mr. Christopher is the Vice-

Chairman.

MOTION MADE TO NOMINATE BILL WELTY AS TREASURER. MOTION MADE THAT

MR. WARE: I'd like to nominate Bill Welty.

MR. MARTIN: I'll make a motion for Mr. Welty.

MR. REEDER: Motion made by the Mayor.

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Second.

MR. REEDER: Second by Mr. Christopher. MR. MARTIN:

We have people who are trained in this. I

mean, you all have done this and we're new to

the Board.

MR. REEDER: Do we have any further nominations for

Treasurer?

MR. REESE: Motion that nominations cease.

MR. REEDER: Motion by Judge Reese nominations cease.

MS. BUSH: Second.

MR. REEDER: Seconded by Susan Bush. Mr. Welty is the new

Treasurer.

INDEX OF MOTIONS (Continued)

Α	S SECRETA	TO NOMINATE BILL GRIER ARY. MOTION MADE THAT
N	OMINATION	NS CEASE12, LINE 5
MR.	REEDER:	MR. WELTY: I nominate Bill Grier. MR. REESE: Second. Motion made by Mr. Welty, seconded by Judge Reese to put Bill Grier's name in contention.
		Make a motion we cease nominations. Motion made by the Mayor to cease nominations. MR. WARE: Second.
MR.	REEDER:	Second by Bob Ware. Bill, you're the new Secretary.
MOT	ION TO A	PPROVE MINUTES13, LINE 14
MR.	WELTY:	So moved. CHAIRMAN WARE: Got a motion to approve. MR. GANNONE: Second.
MR.	WELTY:	Second. All in favor. The minutes are approved.
MOT	ION TO A	PPROVE FINANCIAL REPORT16, LINE 7
		MR. WELTY: So moved. RE:approval of the report? We have a motion to approve the report. MS. BUSH: Second. RE: Second All in favor. So moved

INDEX OF MOTIONS

(Continued)

MR. GRIER: I would so move, Mr. Chairman. You can word it to fix the exact need. As a resident of Lexington, I feel--and I know what the dam is like. I totally agree with everything you said, Mr. Reeder. From my own personal knowledge, every time I've been out there, it's a very critical area -- no question about that. And it could go suddenly or it could take it as long as an hour.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Okay. We have a motion then on the floor to, as a Board, to support any efforts to restore the funding based on the increase of Tier II fees.

MR. WELTY: Second.

CHAIRMAN WARE: And we had a second to that motion.

MR. CAINES: Second.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Any discussion?

MR. WELTY: That fee based on the paper that was handed out?

MR. REEDER: Yes.

MR. MORSE: This is some information we provided the

Legislative Research Commission budget staff. They wanted to know exactly who would be impacted by the rate increase and how much. So, this gives you an idea of that. And it's an estimate until we actually see what our interest rates are and we won't know how much the rate will have to go up exactly.

One thing I did want to say. In talking with some of the fee payers in this list, we would want to discourage some of the tendency to look at these projects as does it benefit me in particular. That's kind of an attitude I

INDEX OF MOTIONS

(Continued)

- pick up talking to some people. Well, if I'm Lexington, I don't mind paying to reconstruct Dam 9; but if I'm Irvine, for example, I may not be so in favor of that.
- I think we need to foster the idea that it's a complete water supply system and eventually every one of these things is going to be replaced. We're all in the project together and the project in total is the whole system.
- MR. REEDER: Excellent point. And not only that, that argument frequently comes up because people tend to look at them as 14 lakes rather than a system. But the bare truth of it is with regard to Dam No. 9 is that Kentucky-American and Lexington is paying for 45% of everything we do everywhere else. The work we did at Dam 3 last year, if we do something at 14, 45% of it is paid for by Lexington. So, that's another way to spin that.
- CHAIRMAN WARE: Well, you can't discount the situations like the Bluegrass Water Supply Commission of wheeling treated water among users in the basin, too. So, it's not just tied to the flow of the river necessarily.
- MR. REEDER: Plus, you've got another dynamic that we found out last year. We had a similar situation at 9 that developed at Dam No. 3, a very weak dam, and we had to do an emergency project there.
- One of the things that engineers told us real quick is that if you were to lose Dam No. 3, they said, you take all the stabilizing pressure off of Dam 4, and if there's any weakness in there that you have not detected, you could lose 4 right quick if the pool was drained. Well, 4 is the capital city. That's Mr. Caines' operation 100%.
- If you carry that same logic and you look at Dam No. 9, you would lose 10. Ten is as bad as it is, every bit, and that's recognized by the Corps of Engineers. And then you've got 11 which is

Richmond and so on. Even if it

INDEX OF MOTIONS

(Continued)

didn't collapse it at that time, it could weaken it severely because some of those things are not anchored well. So, that's what you're looking at.

MR. GRIER: Mr. Chairman, if this motion passes and is reworded, would the office send each one of us a final wording because it's so important, just in an email or something, if we could see how it's finally worded.

MR. REEDER: I think the way it would be worded, just paraphrasing it, would be that it would be an expressed general support for restoring the funding as requested in the budget.

MR. WELTY: Steve, do they allow you to ask to meet before them?

MR. REEDER: Yes, they're likely to. They're very likely to call me, I understand. It'll be assigned to a subcommittee. And during the month of February, historically the Appropriations and Revenue Committee is really developing the budget in the House and it's divided into subcommittees.

We fall under General Government, I believe, Don, and that committee addresses such questions as ours and such issues as ours and all of our agency requests. In the past, they've all been pretty normal. We didn't have to respond to very much. But this time, they're going to look at it probably a lot more closely. We're no doubt going to be called in the next week or so.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Would it be appropriate for each Board member to communicate with their House and Senate representatives---

MR. REEDER: Oh, yes.

CHAIRMAN WARE: ---on this?

MR. REEDER: If they agree with it, I think if they can render any support that they might for this project.

MR. WELTY: It would be good that we got the complete list of all those people that serve on that committee and all, the date that it happens, if we can,

it behooves us to go in with you showing our support also.

INDEX OF MOTIONS

(Continued)

MR. REEDER: We can provide that. We can provide a list of the subcommittee. If it's the General Government Subcommittee we're assigned to, Bill, it'll be Royce Adams chairing, one of your neighbors.

MR. REESE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to have some wording on Mr. Grier's motion before we vote or whatever.

Just give us the wording so that we know what we're voting on.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Yes. I've given my version of it and Steve gave, I think, a similar version of it.

MR. REEDER: Well, let's write one down so we'll have it very specific. I think it would be a resolution to express general support to restore the funding we requested for Dam 9 which would include the sale of bonds which will be supported by a fee increase---

CHAIRMAN WARE: Specifically Tier II fees?

MR. REEDER: Tier II fees based on water user fees.

MR. GRIER: Could that be preceded by the phrase because of the critical nature of Dam No. 9, this Board strongly urges that -- words that try to project the urgency of this--MR. REEDER: Right.

MR. GRIER: ---because it is very urgent. It's very urgent.
MR. REEDER: Like I said, we're really not asking for
anything. We're just asking for permission to

raise our own fees. That's what you're asking for.

MR. REESE: Yes. I'm sure most of you are aware that Mr.
Grier here is an architect and he is familiar
with these things and I would strongly urge
the Board to agree with his motion here.

CHAIRMAN WARE: We have a motion and a second. If there's no further discussion, we'll take a vote on this.

All in favor aye. Any opposition? Motion passes.

INDEX OF MOTIONS

(Continued)

MR. WELTY: Move we adjourn.

CHAIRMAN WARE: I have a motion. MS. BUSH: And I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN WARE: We'll see some of you before March 15th in

subcommittee meetings.

CHAIRMAN WARE: We'll call this meeting to order. Before we get started, we have a couple of individuals in the audience that we would like to recognize, Kevin Atkins with Mitch McConnell's office and Bryan Legg with Congressman Davis' office.

The first item on the agenda will be election of officers. So, I'm going to recuse myself at this point and have Steve Reeder conduct the meeting.

MR. REEDER: We have four officers to elect and let me tell you sort of briefly a little description of each one because most of our board is new. It's two-thirds new anyway.

The first office, of course, is

Chairman or Chair and we have an Interim Chairman who is Bob

Ware. The Chair has numerous responsibilities.

It's the only office that we have that is statutorily mandated. All the other offices we have are the product of by-laws, but the Chair is the only statutory office which the law states that the Authority members shall elect on an annual basis. The Chair is the parliamentary head of the Authority.

The Chair is also sort of the policy leader of the Authority. And you have to remember the board

itself, since you all are a quasi-independent board, the board itself makes policy. The Legislature doesn't make it. The administration does not make it, per se. The administration's voice in this board is through the appointees that it makes and the Chairman's job, of course, is to coordinate these people and coordinate these activities and these policy statements.

The Chair appoints all the chairmen of the subcommittees. They appoint the membership of the subcommittees; and all the subcommittees that we have, the membership of these subcommittees runs concurrent with the term of each Chairman or Chair. We have had a female Chair. So, we've had a situation of that kind.

We have had Chairs that changed or merged some committees. That can be done, but the Chair has responsibilities in that regard.

The Chair also sits as an ex officio voting member on all subcommittees. The Chair has certain document-signing responsibilities, not extensive. It can be. The bylaws state the Chair will sign bonds if we ever sell any bonds, certain formalities.

There are certain things that the Finance Cabinet requires a co-signature on, my signature as

Executive Director and then the Chair as a co-signor of the thing. So, there are some signatory things to do.

What I'm telling you is whoever gets elected to this job has got a little work to do. The term runs for a year. The term of all these offices is for the balance of 2006.

The Vice-Chair is self-explanatory.

That's simply a backup to the Chair when the Chair is not here and cannot preside over the meetings or do any other functions. If that person is out of state or out of the country, unavailable, the Vice-Chair will sign documents or attend meetings or whatever on behalf of the Chair.

The Vice-Chair can also, according to the by-laws, be assigned any other duties that the Chair might choose to give that person to do, special projects or whatever.

The third office is the Treasurer. The Treasurer is an office that is defined as sort of the budget oversight person. We've had some very active Chairs. We've had some that have not been very active. We really, as a staff, prefer the Treasurer to be as active as they want to be because the budget is the heart of what we do as anything else that goes on in government or any place else.

Bill Welty, in fact, outside of Bob Ware, he's the only sitting officer we have and he's been Treasurer for a good while. The Treasurer also chairs the Finance and Legal Affairs Committee ex officio.

The other office is the Secretary's office. Bob Ware is the permanent head of that office. He's the permanent occupant of that office. He is operating as interim Chairman based upon the Robert's Rules of Order prescription. Robert's Rules of Order is stated in our bylaws to control where we don't have any specific by-laws to cover. The Secretary of the organization becomes Chairman when there is no Chairman or no Vice-Chairman. And, so, that's what the Secretary does.

We didn't have a Secretary before I came here. I urged one of the former Chairs to create the office because there are certain things that are required in the business world should we ever get involved in selling bonds or doing anything of that nature. We have to have somebody who is recognized in the business world who can certify documents. And in the corporate world, when you go back to Robert's Rules of Order or any other basic parliamentary guide for any kind of a private board of directors, the Secretary is a key person.

Now, we do not have any need for a recording secretary. In the classic sense, the Secretary did all these things I'm telling you about as far as certifying records but also manually took the records. When I came here, the staff basically took the records or took a version of them; and since we were handling public money, it was my idea to hire a court reporter. Now, I've taken some criticism in the past from some members saying, well, you've spent \$300 to \$500 a meeting on these minutes.

Well, let me tell you. These minutes are recorded by a court reporter. That court reporter is a notary public and an officer of the Court. When the court reporter signs something, it is an official document you can take anywhere. If we weren't handling public money, then, maybe we would let one of you get elected and take notes. But the best course of action as I see it handling public funds is to create an audit trail and make sure there's a certification of those records.

The Secretary does not have the certification responsibilities generally. However, if we ever are involved in a situation where a member of the Authority has to certify something, then, that's another position that the Secretary would fulfill.

And then, of course, it was a godsend really which we couldn't foresee when we suddenly found ourselves with no Chairman and no Vice-Chairman and we would have had to have had an election at that point in order to have proceeded on, but Robert's Rules of Order provided an escape hatch in that it said the Secretary of the Board would serve as Secretary. So that's where we are today.

So, the procedure here for electing somebody is this. And I think I've told you before, but I'll tell you again for purposes of this meeting. In order for anyone for any office -- we'll take these offices individually -- we need one person to nominate somebody to get their name into consideration and we need a second to have that person's name on the ballot. Of course, if there's no other nominations, then, we'll just have a voice vote and that will be the end of it. If we have two or more that get their name on the floor, then, we'll have to have an election.

Now, the way we're going to do that is that Sue Ann and Kayla are going to create a ballot and it will be a secret ballot and you can vote for whoever you want to. They'll pass them out and then I'll read the results.

You do not sign that. It's totally secret. You put it in an

envelope. We'll count it here, or I'll count it and announce the winner. Then, these votes will go into our permanent files at the office. There's no violation of any open records or open meetings' policies here because we're going to retain the result of those votes.

And it's been our experience in the past--this was not done on some occasions when we had these things and it created a lot of acrimony and division among the Board and we simply don't have time for that. We've got too much to do and we don't need to be having factions within the Board.

So, I'm going to begin the process by asking for nominations for we'll start with the Chair.

MR. WELTY: Steve, I put Bob Ware's name as Chair.

MR. MARTIN: Second.

MR. DAY: Second.

MR. REEDER: Motion made by Mr. Welty
and seconded, I believe, first by the Mayor.

MR. WELTY: Move nominations cease.

MR. REEDER: Got a motion that

nominations cease.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

MR. GRIER: Second.

1	MR. REEDER: Got a second. Who
2	seconded that? Bill? Okay. Bob Ware's name has been put
3	before you. Motion has been made and seconded. I'll concur
4	that the motions on the Chairman cease. All in favor. All
5	opposed. Mr. Ware is the new Chairman for 2006.
6	CHAIRMAN WARE: Thank you very much, I
7	think.
8	MR. WELTY: Same pay.
9	MR. REEDER: Same wages. Vice-Chair.
10	Do I hear a motion for a Vice-Chair?
11	MR. MARTIN: Mr. Welty. Motion for Mr.
12	Welty.
13	MR. REEDER: Motion for Mr. Welty as
14	Vice-Chair.
15	MR. GRIER: I'll second.
16	MR. REEDER: Second by Mr. Grier.
17	MR. REESE: I'd like to make a motion
18	to nominate Mr. Christopher.
19	MR. REEDER: Motion made by Judge Reese
20	to nominate Mr. Christopher, Randall Christopher. Do I hear
21	a second? Do I have a second?
22	MR. GRIER: Second.
23	MR. REEDER: Need a second to get this

1	on the floor.
2	MR. REESE: Bill seconded it.
3	MR. REEDER: You seconded? I didn't
4	hear you.
5	MR. GRIER: Yes.
6	MR. REEDER: Motion made and seconded
7	to put Mr. Christopher's name on the floor. Okay. Any
8	further nominations?
9	MR. DAY: Move the nominations cease.
10	MR. REEDER: Motion by Mr. Day
11	nominations cease.
12	MR. GANNONE: Second.
13	MR. REEDER: Seconded by Paul Gannone.
14	We'll pass out the ballots. We'll recess and pass out the
15	ballots.
16	MR. WELTY: Mr. Chairman, so we can
17	move right along, I'll just pull my name from that, if it's
18	okay.
19	MR. REEDER: He can withdraw.
20	MR. WELTY: Okay.
21	MR. REEDER: Okay?
22	MR. WELTY: Not a problem.
23	MR. REEDER: Mr. Welty has withdrawn.

- We don't have to have an election. So, Mr. Christopher is
 the Vice-Chairman. Treasurer.

 MR. WARE: I'd like to nominate Bill
 Welty.
- 5 MR. MARTIN: I'll make a motion for Mr. 6 Welty.
- 7 MR. REEDER: Motion made by the Mayor. 8 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Second.
- 9 MR. REEDER: Second by Mr. Christopher.
 10 MR. MARTIN: We have people who are
- trained in this. I mean, you all have done this and we're new to the Board.
- MR. REEDER: Do we have any further nominations for Treasurer?
- MR. REESE: Motion that nominations cease.
- MR. REEDER: Motion by Judge Reese nominations cease.
- MS. BUSH: Second.
- MR. REEDER: Seconded by Susan Bush.
- 21 Mr. Welty is the new Treasurer. Secretary. Board Secretary 22 to fill Mr. Ware's old job.
- MR. WELTY: I nominate Bill Grier.

1 MR. REESE: Second. 2 MR. REEDER: Motion made by Mr. Welty, seconded by Judge Reese to put Bill Grier's name in 3 contention. MR. MARTIN: Make a motion we cease 5 6 nominations. 7 MR. REEDER: Motion made by the Mayor 8 to cease nominations. 9 MR. WARE: Second. MR. REEDER: Second by Bob Ware. 10 Bill, 11 you're the new Secretary. Okay. Thank you. 12 One thing, if I could. If we've got 13 time this afternoon, I would like very briefly to meet with the new officers right after the meeting. Just a brief 14 meeting. We've got a couple of issues we need to talk about, 15 procedural issues. The new officers, about five minutes 16 17 after the meeting. CHAIRMAN WARE: Probably all the 18 19 offices ought to be aware that we've got a Basin Leadership Committee that they belong to we could be involved with. 20 21 MR. REEDER: We do have a Basin 22 Leadership Committee that all the elected officers serve on

ex officio and that just takes up a group of issues, and the

23

Chair will have to name a fifth member to it, too, because I believe the fifth member that we currently have didn't get reappointed. That was Judge Cassity. CHAIRMAN WARE: There's been a suggestion made that we probably ought to call roll under the 5 6 circumstances. 7 (ROLL CALL) MS. ELLISTON: We do have a quorum. 8 9 CHAIRMAN WARE: Next item will be the approval of last meeting's minutes. 10 MR. WELTY: So moved. 11 12 CHAIRMAN WARE: Got a motion to 13 approve. 14 MR. GANNONE: Second. 15 MR. WELTY: Second. All in favor. The 16 minutes are approved. Next item on the agenda will be the 17 financial report by Don Morse. 18 MR. MORSE: December was a fairly quiet 19 month as it usually is with the holidays. If you look at 20 Page 1, you'll see our fee receipts during that period --21 22 only \$3,500 of Tier I money and a couple hundred dollars of

Tier II.

23

The bottom of the page reflects the amount of outstanding fees receivable which remain quite high for this particular month at \$191,000. That was primarily due to a little mix-up in the New Jersey offices with Kentucky-American in that they lost our bill for the prior quarter and we're delayed in paying it. They are current at the present time and we have brought that receivable amount way down, but it does show a little bit of how much dependence we have on a singular customer like that and how much it can impact our finances.

If you'll look at the second and third pages that relate to those particular fees, you'll notice that we are below what the budgeted levels were for fees at this time of the year. We should be at the 50% level and we are below that. However, after collection of the payment by Kentucky-American, it'll bring us back to normal levels.

Investment income remains kind of sporadic. We're earning about two and a half percent per annum on our funds and not a real outstanding performance. We are well below what we budgeted for that and had intended to use some of these funds so there wouldn't be as large a balance for investment at this time of the year. So, a little disappointed in investment performance.

Expenditures for general operations on Page 2 are pretty much normal, nothing out of the ordinary there, and we ended the month with \$258,000 in cash on Tier I monies and \$4.3 million in Tier II funds.

There is a little correction to make. We inverted the numbers there on the salary amounts and the general operating expenses. Those should be reversed in order. We made a little mistake on that. Again, we're below what we budgeted for this time of the year, so, we're in pretty good shape on our lock operations.

Capital projects. We did start making some significant payments on the cut-off walls that we're installing in Locks 5, 6 and 7. Paid the construction contractor \$221,000 in December and the engineer an additional \$12,000 for construction oversight. We're still on target for that. We did have a small change order on engineering services because of some design changes that had to be done and that should reflect in the next month's statement.

On the water supply project, the water storage project, the only activity there was investment income and design still continues on on that. I think we're

outstanding that are under review for different reasons for engineering services on that project. So, those should appear in next month's statements. No activity on our maintenance fund, 5 and the last page shows you the individual contractor 6 7 activity. 8 CHAIRMAN WARE: Any questions for Don? Motion on---9 MR. WELTY: So moved. 10 11 CHAIRMAN WARE: ---approval of the 12 report? We have a motion to approve the report. 13 MS. BUSH: Second. 14 CHAIRMAN WARE: Second. All in favor. 15 So moved. The next item will be a report on the 16 17 upcoming bi-annual budget by Don Morse and Steve Reeder. MR. MORSE: I think everyone got this 18 handout where I tried to break down what the Governor's 19 recommendations on our budget resulted in. 20 On lock operations, of course, that's 21

holding probably around \$90,000 to \$100,000 in invoices

our General Fund-supported program, so, that's the one that

is going to be looked at most closely in any kind of budget

22

23

review. And if there's any funds to recapture for other purposes, that's where it's going to come from.

We were cut \$60,000. This was based on -- that's \$60,000 in the current year -- and that's based on the way the Budget Office put together the cost savings for funding in the first year of the upcoming biennium.

Basically, what they did is say if you lapsed money last year, then, you can get by on the same level of funding in the current year and we're going to cut you by essentially what you lapsed back to us in the previous year. That doesn't take into account any changes in activity or program levels.

In our case, what it does is cut out our ability to do any of the dredging activities that we often have to do in the springtime to get the locks ready to open. That's normally in a range of about \$50,000 that we budget; and with this kind of cut, we won't be able to do that this spring if it holds. So that's the impact of it.

They've actually spread the cut among the different categories that I've outlined here. They've cut personnel, operations and capital equipment funding.

Capital equipment funding they left in at the level of the amount of agency funding that we had proposed but we didn't

propose using agency funding for capital equipment. So, we're going to have to shuffle some monies around quite a bit if all this holds up to the budget process.

In the upcoming biennium after cutting us the 60, they restore back around \$41,000 of the cut, but they allocate most of it back to capital equipment because they cut it so much in the current year. So, we end up with only about \$11,000 of operating money to work with as a restored amount in '07; and, again, if that's the level we're at, we won't be able to dredge again.

In '08, we get a little bit of extra funding. We're still in about the same position. So, over the last five years, we've probably taken about a 25% cut in our total budget for lock operations and it's going to be hard to continue that on at these levels.

We do get a little bit of funding besides what was recommended. The way they've handled the salary increments this year, rather than budgeting those amounts to the individual agencies, they're budgeting all of it to the Personnel Cabinet who will later on, after determining what the real salary increments are, reallocate that money. So, it will be sort of a supplemental allotment down the road to back up the increments we have to do. So,

that's a little bit of a plus or a little bit of a kickback we can rely on to help us through the next two years but doesn't help a lot in the impact that we just outlined. So, that's what they did for the lock operations.

Our general operating account that's supported with fees, there weren't any cuts in the current year. Everything was left alone. So, we're still able to do everything we were previously authorized to do, however, next year we get cut about \$80,000 of operating expenses.

The way these types of program accounts are budgeted, they're not budgeted by individual categories, salaries, operations, equipment, those types of things. It's all one lump sum. So, it's up to the agency to decide where they want to allocate those cuts; and we've got some latitude, but that's something we'll have to discuss down the line when we see the final outcome of the budget process.

We are cut that year; and in the following year, we remain at just about the same level. The only thing that's added back is the additional cost of benefits, the extra cost of health insurance and retirement costs.

And, in this case, we've told you we were going to get a little bit of a kickback from the

Personnel Cabinet for our lock operations. However, with agency funds, there won't be anything coming back. So, I don't know where we absorb the salary increments. That will have to come out of some other category down the road and something we'll discuss when the time comes.

We had asked for two expansion items in the budget, one, an additional personnel position to seek some federal grant funding for some of these projects -- that was denied -- and we also sought debt service as an expansion item on bonds to finance construction at No. 9. That was denied as well, and we'll talk about that a little more on the capital projects.

On capital projects, we asked for three things. We asked for a maintenance pool to handle emergency situations like we had at Dam 3 a couple of years ago. That was funded at a half a million dollars per year without any question.

We requested \$700,000 of General Fund dollars to supplement \$300,000 of our agency receipts to repair the lock at No. 3 and get a continuous lock series for operation back in place. What the recommendation was was to provide a half a million dollars of outside funding, although it doesn't come directly from the General Fund -- it comes

from another source -- and then we're required to put up a half a million dollars of our agency fees to make that project go. So, we up the ante on our side by \$200,000, but we did get the project approved. So that's the outcome there.

On the Dam 9 reconstruction, what we've done is we've combined the activities at Dams 9 and 10 into one project for capital budgeting and called it our Water Storage Enhancement Project. We've been working along those lines for the last several years. So, both projects are funded through the same authorization.

On Dam 10, the only thing that we had planned was our cost share with the Corps of Engineers for the next two years at a plan level of a quarter of a million dollars a year on our cost to fund a million-dollar appropriation of federal funds to continue the design work that's ongoing there, and we had no anticipation of getting into construction at that location in the next two years.

On Dam 9, we've been working on design now for, what, three years, three-plus years, and we're pretty much at the point of finishing final design on that project. It should be done actually in the next three to four months, and we had anticipated there wouldn't be any

problem with funding this project. So, we were planning to go to construction bid in early June.

With the project being denied--what we were approved--well, back up a minute. The project in total at No. 9 was \$17-1/2 million for cost beyond what we've funded so far for design expense, and we were going to fund \$3,570,000 of that from our own cash after paying for the renovations at Lock 3 and the maintenance pool funding, and the rest of it we intended to finance with revenue bonds at the level of \$13,930,000, round numbers \$14 million.

We received an approval or a request to use our cash for the project but we didn't receive any approval for the bonds, rationale being that the policy was that anything that required a fee increase would not be approved in this budget and we would have to increase our fees, our Tier II fees on the main stem river users in order to pay debt service on the bonds.

We're only producing less than \$450,000 a year in that fee income and the debt service on these bonds was going to be \$1,297,000, \$1.3 million. So, do the numbers. We're going to have to increase the fee rate about four times in order to produce the debt service necessary.

Well, our position is, a piece of a dam

is not worth too much and we can't really go forward with the project at that level. The only other thing that I guess the Board could do would be to decide to somehow either kick Dam 10 into gear, which, because that's a federal project, we don't have a lot of control on it, or to go back and petition to get our funding restored for Dam 9.

We've tried to present our case for that in as delicate a way as we can, not to try to circumvent the Governor's policy on this issue, but to make it understood that we think it's an exception to the normal rule on fee increases because that's what the agency was set up for is to build these types of structures, and it was always anticipated that the fees would have to go up when we got into construction. That's been our plan for the last ten years and I don't think we've diverged from that or we're trying to throw a surprise on anyone at this point, but that's where we are with the budget as it stands.

MR. MARTIN: Don, Senator Barrows and his group and Representative Damron, they all have talked about trying to get this money back in for us. I know I was at a legislative breakfast just recently and brought it up and then we had an airport meeting and Senator Barrows said that he's trying to get this money back in there. If that

Dam 10 fails, the whole thing would fail.

MR. MORSE: Right. We think it's an important project.

MR. REEDER: Yes. The project has been on our books for some time and, as Don said, we've already designed it. We've got a couple of million dollars in the project already and design will be finished with it. All the permits will be secured to build it and it's essentially just a replacement of the dam as it is. It doesn't raise it but it secures a dam that's got real structural engineering problems in it.

And when you look at Pool No. 9, all pools are important if one soul takes water out of it. But, in this case, you've got a population of 265,000 in Lexington not including the University of Kentucky when it's in session. You've probably got another, according to what we understand, 40,000 to 50,000 migrant type people that may or may not be legal that live there. You have a gross county product according to the Economic Development Cabinet of \$11.5 billion annually.

We have also from that pool supplied the City of Midway 100%, the eastern half of Scott County from I-75, including Toyota, which is one customer, but what

a customer it is, and---

MR. MARTIN: Sure. It would put several companies out of business if that closes.

MR. REEDER: Right. And if you ever put Toyota out of business, why, you've put a whole lot of things in the state out of business because if you count all the people that make wheels and glass and seat covers and whatever all over the place -- I mean, Eastern Kentucky, Western Kentucky, Southern, Kentucky -- it's got a pretty broad spin-off to it.

This dam is one that's not in good shape. I have no doubt, as you said, some of the legislators are already discussing it. I will no doubt be called over there to testify in the next couple of weeks probably. Now, I can't tell those people that it's going to collapse before we have another legislative session, but I can't tell them that it's not. All I can do is present the evidence that the engineering firms have given us and it's not good and it's been something that we have flagged.

I think even before we took an active role in investigating the thing, Kentucky-American Water Company had quite a few engineering studies done on the thing. It's a risky business.

Now, the bright side of this is -- we have to look at the positive side of it -- the Budget Office did recommend the project. They just didn't recommend a way to pay for it except for what we had in the bank. So, we've got a project that's probably going to have a construction cost on the street of probably \$16 million left, maybe \$17 million, and we've got \$3 million, \$3.5 million in cash. Well, we can't let a contract on that. We'll have to let the plan set there. Well, the plans set there. Then what happens? Plans get old. They've got to be updated again before you let them out.

The other thing is, I asked the engineering consultant if they could break down the project.

I said could you pick out those most critical elements that are there. Could we have a partial project? Well, a partial project is double what we've got in the bank.

The weak parts of it are the lock, the auxiliary dam, and then on the opposite side from the lock, there's a weak wall over there. But any way you break that thing out, you can't really cut it up into anything significant, and then you have to have a modified design which would cost you more if you had to do it twice anyway.

So, we don't want to certainly take a

position that we're going against something the administration doesn't want. I don't believe that for a minute because they wouldn't have said the project was okay in the first place.

But the way I look at it is this.

We're not a state agency anyway, per se. We're a quasi state agency -- we're not part of the Executive Branch -- and it's been historic in all of these types of agencies that we are to basically fend for ourselves for the most part. Our connection to the government is what the Legislature created for us. We're a creature of the Legislature. These things are created.

I wish the students were here. I think we'll have some students after while. Give them a little civics lesson or government lesson.

But boards such as this and the Turnpike Authority and the Housing Corporation and a bunch of these things were all created by the Legislature to get around the constitutional prohibition of borrowing money. You can't borrow any significant money on two years' income.

So, all these things grew up as quasi government entities that had the support of the government but were not guaranteed by it. They're guaranteed by their

own stream of income. In our case, the stream of income is what we collect from utilities. In order to back that collateral up, then, we have to raise fees and that's the way the law was written.

So, the legislators will no doubt have a different view of it than any Executive Branch because as far as the Executive Branch is concerned, we're not really a line agency. We're attached to Finance in this case. We used to be attached to Natural Resources or Environmental Protection. We're attached to Finance strictly for administrative purposes which means those things that we really can't afford to do for ourselves or it's better done by some centralized agency.

So, I don't think we ought to read into it here that the administration has done us wrong. Nobody has done us wrong. This proposition is on the floor. Even if the Governor had recommended it and said do everything they want, we've still got to sell it over there because nothing becomes law. You know, we've seen the last five, six years we've gone a good while on continuation type budgets like the federal government does sometime. The Legislature didn't even pass one. That's real chaos.

And, so, what we're doing here is we're

really--that was just to me the first step that it had to go through. It's where it ought to be now. It's on the floor. We can defend the project. It's a good project. It's not something that we consider to be optional. It needs to be done.

The thing about it is that Dam 9 just has such a tremendous impact statewide. It goes into other counties for direct supply. It's got such a huge economy. It's 10% of the state's gross state product in Fayette County alone; and with a dam failure, it's easy to figure it because there is no water.

There's a two week supply there. Can you fix it real quick? Yes, you can fix it for a while. You can go in there and dam it up. We've got a structural failure plan. You can go in there and dam it up and work on it for a while but you don't have any guarantee of anything. So, you have a potentially dangerous situation.

I think that what our next mission is is just to present our case for the Legislature as best we can. They get these ideas, too, they're not going to let anybody raise these. Some of these people campaign on it. So, you never know what you're going to run into.

There's significant support among

knowledgeable people that deal with the issue, but someone, say, from Western Kentucky or some place away from here, he may not be too interested in it. So, we just have to take our best shot with that and, if we fail, we'll have to try again next time and hope nothing doesn't happen in the meantime or we may have to go back and try to continue our efforts in Congress some way, see if we can get some federal money for it.

But we do have all the pre-construction work done. We're sort of caught on this one. We really need to go on with it because of the impact brought on by its condition and brought on by the fact that we've just plain got a bunch of money invested in this thing that we need to do something with.

Some people think when a recommendation comes out of the Governor's Office, that's it or his Budget Office. Well, that's not the way it works. You see these things. When the Legislature gets through with them, they don't even resemble what they started with anyway. That's not of a real concern to me. My concern right now is how many of those guys over there believe in it.

MR. GRIER: Steve, have you had any feedback from the letters that you wrote? I saw there are

three or four letters in this package.

MR. REEDER: No, not really. Just--no.

MR. GRIER: Have you been able to talk

to any of these people?

MR. REEDER: No, no. No. I think they've just shipped it out, as with all agencies. The economic situation is not a good thing anywhere anyhow. I'm not sure if they wanted to do anything about it or if they would have taken--I'm not sure how much difference that would make anyway because that climate in the Legislature is a completely different ball game and that's where our issue lies at this point.

MR. REESE: Steve, is federal money used in this project?

MR. REEDER: No, no. No federal money in that. Federal money in 10, the next one down. That's a congressional ADD.

MR. MARTIN: Nine isn't?

MR. REEDER: Nine is not. That's why it's moved along as fast as it has because there's not any federal oversight to it.

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Reeder, what about Homeland Security money? They put it out on bridges. They

put it out for other things. Water supply is one of the most important things that we have here and it's the most apt to be damaged by somebody.

MR. REEDER: When we started the project, we didn't pursue anything like that simply because—well, the Homeland Security, that's something that's sort of grown up after we got the thing going. Anything would be a good thought if we don't succeed in the Legislature. It definitely would be because——

MR. MARTIN : I know we're taking precautions in our town to protect the waterworks, the water towers. Everything is locked up. If you don't have the water, what's the since of locking it up?

MR. REEDER: Well, after 9/11,
Kentucky-American Water Company, which owns or has the
franchise to run the plant, owns the plant, they provided
24-hour protection on that thing for a year, year and a half,
and I guess they thought the threat went away. They
recognize it. They recognize it. And it's just plain old.
I'm more afraid of the age of the darn thing and high water
than I am terrorists but anything is possible with it. It's
a good thought, Mayor Martin.

MR. GRIER: Steve, who has to approve

rate increases? Does that go---

MR. REEDER: Legislature.

MR. GRIER: The Legislature has to

approve it.

MR. REEDER: Yes. They've got to approve the budget and they have to approve our ability to raise rates. The significant thing here is we're not asking for any of their money. We're just asking for the ability to raise our own rates. And as far as I know -- and other people can speak to this -- but as far as I know, the rate payers that have been exposed to this project, there's not been any objection to the rate payers.

It amounts to a rate increase—the rate increase we want to do what we want amounts to a raise of about 25 cents now that river users pay to about 65 cents a month. That's what it really amounts to. And it's paid for by the dozen utilities that are on the river, and we've not had any opposition to that because everybody is going to be the recipient of it, and when we get through with this one, they'll be another one. But the General Assembly must approve that and appropriate it. So, it's an issue with the General Assembly anyway, not really with the administration.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Steve, what do you need

make a motion to support the restoration of the bond funding through raising Tier II fees? MR. REEDER: I think that would be an 5 appropriate action at this point in time. Yes, sir. 6 CHAIRMAN WARE: I would entertain a 7 motion of that nature. 8 MR. GRIER: I would so move, Mr. Chairman. You can word it to fix the exact need. As a 9 resident of Lexington, I feel--and I know what the dam is 10 11 like. I totally agree with everything you said, Mr. Reeder. 12 From my own personal knowledge, every time I've been out 13 there, it's a very critical area -- no question about that. And it could go suddenly or it could take it as long as an 14 hour. 15 16 CHAIRMAN WARE: Okay. We have a motion 17 then on the floor to, as a Board, to support any efforts to restore the funding based on the increase of Tier II fees. 18 19 MR. WELTY: Second. CHAIRMAN WARE: And we had a second to 20 that motion. 21 22 MR. CAINES: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN WARE: Any discussion?

from the Board? Would it be appropriate for the Board to

MR. WELTY: That fee based on the paper that was handed out?

MR. REEDER: Yes.

MR. MORSE: This is some information we provided the Legislative Research Commission budget staff.

They wanted to know exactly who would be impacted by the rate increase and how much. So, this gives you an idea of that.

And it's an estimate until we actually see what our interest rates are and we won't know how much the rate will have to go up exactly.

One thing I did want to say. In talking with some of the fee payers in this list, we would want to discourage some of the tendency to look at these projects as does it benefit me in particular. That's kind of an attitude I pick up talking to some people. Well, if I'm Lexington, I don't mind paying to reconstruct Dam 9; but if I'm Irvine, for example, I may not be so in favor of that.

I think we need to foster the idea that it's a complete water supply system and eventually every one of these things is going to be replaced. We're all in the project together and the project in total is the whole system.

MR. REEDER: Excellent point. And not

only that, that argument frequently comes up because people tend to look at them as 14 lakes rather than a system. But the bare truth of it is with regard to Dam No. 9 is that Kentucky-American and Lexington is paying for 45% of everything we do everywhere else. The work we did at Dam 3 last year, if we do something at 14, 45% of it is paid for by Lexington. So, that's another way to spin that.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Well, you can't discount the situations like the Bluegrass Water Supply Commission of wheeling treated water among users in the basin, too. So, it's not just tied to the flow of the river necessarily.

MR. REEDER: Plus, you've got another dynamic that we found out last year. We had a similar situation at 9 that developed at Dam No. 3, a very weak dam, and we had to do an emergency project there.

One of the things that engineers told us real quick is that if you were to lose Dam No. 3, they said, you take all the stabilizing pressure off of Dam 4, and if there's any weakness in there that you have not detected, you could lose 4 right quick if the pool was drained. Well, 4 is the capital city. That's Mr. Caines' operation 100%.

If you carry that same logic and you

look at Dam No. 9, you would lose 10. Ten is as bad as it is, every bit, and that's recognized by the Corps of Engineers. And then you've got 11 which is Richmond and so on. Even if it didn't collapse it at that time, it could weaken it severely because some of those things are not anchored well. So, that's what you're looking at.

MR. GRIER: Mr. Chairman, if this motion passes and is reworded, would the office send each one of us a final wording because it's so important, just in an email or something, if we could see how it's finally worded.

MR. REEDER: I think the way it would be worded, just paraphrasing it, would be that it would be an expressed general support for restoring the funding as requested in the budget.

MR. WELTY: Steve, do they allow you to ask to meet before them?

MR. REEDER: Yes, they're likely to.

They're very likely to call me, I understand. It'll be assigned to a subcommittee. And during the month of February, historically the Appropriations and Revenue Committee is really developing the budget in the House and it's divided into subcommittees.

We fall under General Government, I

believe, Don, and that committee addresses such questions as ours and such issues as ours and all of our agency requests. In the past, they've all been pretty normal. We didn't have to respond to very much. But this time, they're going to look at it probably a lot more closely. We're no doubt going to be called in the next week or so.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Would it be appropriate for each Board member to communicate with their House and Senate representatives---

MR. REEDER: Oh, yes.

CHAIRMAN WARE: ---on this?

MR. REEDER: If they agree with it, I think if they can render any support that they might for this project.

MR. WELTY: It would be good that we got the complete list of all those people that serve on that committee and all, the date that it happens, if we can, it behooves us to go in with you showing our support also.

MR. REEDER: We can provide that. We can provide a list of the subcommittee. If it's the General Government Subcommittee we're assigned to, Bill, it'll be Royce Adams chairing, one of your neighbors.

MR. REESE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to

have some wording on Mr. Grier's motion before we vote or whatever. Just give us the wording so that we know what we're voting on. CHAIRMAN WARE: Yes. I've given my 5 version of it and Steve gave, I think, a similar version of 6 it. 7 MR. REEDER: Well, let's write one down so we'll have it very specific. I think it would be a 8 resolution to express general support to restore the funding 9 we requested for Dam 9 which would include the sale of bonds 10 11 which will be supported by a fee increase---12 CHAIRMAN WARE: Specifically Tier II 13 fees? MR. REEDER: Tier II fees based on 14 water user fees. 15 MR. GRIER: Could that be preceded by 16 17 the phrase because of the critical nature of Dam No. 9, this Board strongly urges that -- words that try to project the 18 19 urgency of this---20 MR. REEDER: Right. 21 MR. GRIER: ---because it is very

MR. REEDER: Like I said, we're really

22

23

urgent. It's very urgent.

not asking for anything. We're just asking for permission to raise our own fees. That's what you're asking for.

MR. REESE: Yes. I'm sure most of you are aware that Mr. Grier here is an architect and he is familiar with these things and I would strongly urge the Board to agree with his motion here.

CHAIRMAN WARE: We have a motion and a second. If there's no further discussion, we'll take a vote on this. All in favor aye. Any opposition? Motion passes.

I've got one quick question for Tom

Calkins. Is your organization or the Bluegrass Water Supply

Commission, is it also pursuing support for this project as

we've discussed today?

MR. CALKINS: Yes. In our discussion with the Legislature and some of the members that Mayor Martin previously mentioned at the beginning of the meeting, we've mentioned this problem in the draft proposal of the Governor's budget restricting the ability for you all to raise the Tier II fees because, in addition to the mutual concern that we have over the condition of the dams, 9 in particular as we're talking about here today, but also other dams on the river, we're extremely concerned now as to what a problem on any of the pools might do regionally for us in

2009 and 2010.

We recently had the 2009 announcement for Sakrasuie (sic) out of Japan to be in Winchester, Clark County in the new Industrial Park, and then we have population estimates that have been in the newspaper here recently anywhere from 300,000 to 600,000 additional people on top of what Mr. Reeder was talking about population-wise in 2010 for the World Equestrian Games.

We can quantify just that one Sakrasuie increment additional demand based on what company officials have asked for and that's already 1.6 million gallons per day and the plant hasn't even been built. The incremental demand on regional supplies as a result of the World Equestrian Games -- and it's virtually all going to be Kentucky-American, of course -- is at this point unknown.

So, it's even more than just the critical condition of Dam 9 and some of the other dams in the Kentucky River system that have us concerned.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Thanks, Tom. Any more discussion on this agenda item?

Before we move on, I'd like to recognize the fine group of young people that wandered in a few minutes ago. I've been told that this is a group from

Estill County, a youth leadership group called YALL, an acronym for Youth Alliance Leadership Legion. The adult leaders are Lucy Flannery and Teresa Rader, and they're accompanied by Magistrate Kevin Williams. We welcome you all to our meeting.

The next item on our agenda concerns a report on 2006 legislation by Steve Reeder.

MR. REEDER: Well, at this point, the budget is our major focus of any legislation, but there's one item that we considered critical which I've given to the Finance Cabinet to deal with as part of their clean-up legislation and that is simply the issue on the membership.

The statute which created our Board does not state that the members will serve at the expiration of their term until their successor is appointed and qualified. Most all the boards have that type of language in their enabling statutes -- frankly, all of them. This one does not. And whether it was an oversight or whatever, you can't second guess that because you can't read into any legislative intention into anything unless it's written out in plain language in the State of Kentucky. That's the law.

And, so, what happened to us last year we had--and you have these delays. You have these delays in

appointments. I don't care which administration you're in, you have them. I used to have the job myself as Appointment Secretary in the Governor's Office a long time ago. There's too many things. Governors get too busy. They have too many issues going on for them to make these appointments in a timely way. And what happened to us is that we got caught with about five or six people that really couldn't vote. At least we advised them not to vote. The closest law you've got are a couple of old Attorney General's opinions that say, well, if it doesn't say it, that's probably okay. Well, it's not probably okay if somebody sues you over a decision they don't like. So, we just advised those people not to vote.

So, the magic words are -- and it can be handled in legislation. It's been suggested that it could be handled by Executive Order in the appointment of the member but that's not so because the Governor can't usurp the Legislature unless it's by reorganization, unless he's got a reorganization type of order that he's dealing with when he makes the appointment.

So, the words we want is that the Governor shall appoint a member for a term of four years or until a successor is duly appointed and qualified, and that's the magic words that we want to have passed. It would just

be very helpful because nobody is ever on time. No administration is on time with these things. They've got advisory boards, boards of trustees at universities, boards such as ours which are quasi-governmental boards. When I did it, I had two hundred something that I was responsible for getting to the Governor and they expired continually.

During this administration, I talked to the individual who was the Appointment Secretary early on. I said, well, you've got 200 boards. He said, well, you had 200 boards. He said I have 400 I keep up with. And, historically, there's one person that does that who has one secretary and one assistant and that's true for all administrations. And, so, you can't physically keep up with it.

I know when I was doing it, the Governor I worked for was running for another office at the time, running for the United States Senate. Well, he was gone three-fourths of the time, and then I had to deal with these university presidents and people who were mad, but it happened. No matter what the reason for it is it happens.

But if you get caught in a situation like ours where the voting status of that member is in question, then you've got a potential problem legally. So,

we think that's just good business.

In truth, probably what happened, somebody forgot to write it in there. Probably had a new guy in the General Counsel's Office that wrote it. He wrote it wrong when they did it or in the Legislative Research Commission when they wrote the law and that's probably the truth of the matter, but that's not the way it is. You make a mistake on a piece of legislation and you live with it until somebody changes it.

So, we want that change to be made and that's a good piece of clean-up legislation and I hope it gets in there some place and passes. It's not in a bill right now, but those bills are like the budget. A lot of them are just being developed right now. But we know of no other legislation that adversely or conversely would help us that's laying out there anywhere that would have to be reported on right now.

If you watch the Legislature, about three-fourths of your legislation comes in the last month anyhow. That's when you start reading the stuff.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Thank you, Steve. Next item, Steve is going to discuss proposed purchase of property adjacent to Lock and Dam 4.

MR. REEDER: There again, I don't have a lot more to report. That's the property that we talked about at the last meeting, full meeting we had, that the City of Frankfort offered to sell us at Lock 4 which is former Corps of Engineers' property which was deeded to the City through various channels over a period of time when the Corps downsized operations in this area. It joins us.

The main attraction we have there is that there's a big storage building. Since we moved our operations from Boonesborough to Frankfort, we're short on space for equipment and things of that nature, either rolling equipment or just plain other kinds of equipment that we have to keep inside.

We're dealing with a historic piece of property that we're on where the State Historic Preservation Officer is probably not going to let us do much building on and, if it did, it would probably ruin any possibility for a T-21 grant to rework those houses if we put a Morton building or something like that on it and he probably wouldn't approve it to begin with. So, this property is very attractive to us because it has a building on it that the Corps built a lot time ago that would house anything we want.

The City had it appraised. The City's

appraiser was not approved by the Finance Cabinet. The Finance Cabinet, like the Highway Department, has to have their own appraisals done by somebody who is on their list who is qualified separately by them. They informed me yesterday that they had employed an appraiser and he would be re-appraising, not reviewing an appraisal, but he would be re-appraising it.

I told them that we thought that the appraisal was too high anyway that we got because there's a major sewer line that runs through one piece of it and a gas line and a water line that was not noted on those original appraisals.

So, we'll take that up. And I think,
Mr. Chairman, we probably would want to have the Legal
Affairs and Finance Committee look at that when those
appraisals come in.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Okay.

MR. GRIER: What part of the budget would this come out of? Is this operations or what?

MR. REEDER: It would have to come out of our own money. It wouldn't be out of General Fund money. It would be our own money. The Budget Office, they are committed, at least in the current budget we're in, they're

committed in writing to me to modify the budget and take it somewhere out of that \$3.5 million we've got. It would not come out of any General Fund money and we have not specifically asked for that in the next budget either. So, they would have to modify it. That's what it would come out of. It would be fee money, surplus fee money. Of course, if we don't get the project, we have a lot of money.

MR. WELTY: Steve, what was the original appraisal?

MR. REEDER: The original appraisal for three tracts, it was appraised in three pieces and the total appraisal on the original appraisal was \$177,000. The piece that we really want if they would sever it off was originally appraised for \$100,000.

Now, one piece of it is, we think, exorbitant in appraisal and that's the \$77,000 tract. The rest of it is \$100,000, but we think that's very exorbitant because it's got a sewer line down the middle of it that really restricts any construction of it where the Fire Department's tower used to be, Warner.

MR. GRIER: It's in a floodplain, isn't

22 | it?

MR. REEDER: It's in the floodplain.

That's another thing. There's only certain kind of buildings you can put in the floodplain. And, so, you're best off if you've got one that's already there like this one piece of property has.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Any other questions?

MR. REESE: What benefit would it be to the Authority? What's the benefit?

to look at.

MR. REEDER: What benefit? We get that big building for additional storage. That's the main benefit to it, Judge, and we're also advised that if we pay--if the state -- and the Commerce Cabinet may be pursuing this -- if the state government gets a T-21 grant or one of these ISTEA grants for rehabilitation of those houses to make it a museum and put offices in there for us, whatever we pay for it is eligible for the state's share, which is 20% of a T-21 grant. The value of it is primarily for that building.

MR. DAY: Is this property close--MR. WELTY: I noticed a date of June.

Are we looking at making a decision on this sometime in--MR. REEDER: When they get that

appraisal finished, which I'm assuming they're working on it
now, and I'm assuming in another month, we'll have something

MR. WELTY: I, one, would like to be able to see what I'm---

MR. REEDER: Yes. I would like to have all the members, particularly of the subcommittee that's going to make a recommendation, go over and look at it. Yes, I think that's a good idea. I've said that before. We are premature right now in having an official look at it because we don't even know what we're talking about in terms of money. But anytime anybody wants to come over. I think Rex Morgan has looked at it, but I don't think you looked at it from the standpoint of what we were going to buy unless they showed you over there.

MR. MORGAN: They showed me.

MR. REEDER: Yes.

MR. DAY: Is this property close to 70

16 | Wilkinson Boulevard?

MR. REEDER: No. It's across the river

18 from us.

MR. DAY: Of course, I don't even know that much about the City of Frankfort as to where---

MR. REEDER: This is the old lock property, Lock 4. Earl has an office at Lock 4, the Corps of Engineers' office over there, and it's at Lock 4 which is on

the other side of the river in the community of Bellepoint. CHAIRMAN WARE: Across from Jim's 2 3 Seafood. MR. REEDER: Right. Just off of 421, the New Castle Road. 5 6 MR. DAY: It must be across where Earl 7 eats all the time. 8 MR. REEDER: Exactly. Exactly. go to Jim's Seafood, you can see it. 9 MR. DAY: Yes. Thank you, Steve. 10 MR. REEDER: 11 But I'll take any of you 12 over there anytime you're in town and show it to you. 13 MR. WELTY: Right across the dam here. MR. CHRISTOPHER: Jim's Seafood or to 14 the property? 15 16 MR. REEDER: The property. 17 MR. CAINES: Good try. MR. GRIER: It's used for storage, I 18 19 presume, for stuff when there's a flood anticipated when some of that could be moved in there. 20 21 MR. REEDER: Yes. We've already 22 experienced that because it takes quite a flood to get in on our property. What it does first in that community over 23

there, it cuts you off about six feet before it floods that property.

At one time, the Fire Department wanted to lease it from the Corps of Engineers and wanted to--well, they already own it. They didn't want to lease it, but they wanted to lease some of our property along with it and build a big training center over there. Then they figured out that it flooded and they couldn't get their equipment out. So, they dropped that idea and decided to build their facility somewhere else.

But it cuts you off. It's a very annoying thing because you're always wondering when it's going to come over the road because, when it comes over the road, it's too late if it gets any higher. The last time the property was flooded completely was in the '78 flood. That was the last time.

What's interesting, one of our existing buildings as well as the one we're looking at, they both have lifts in them where small equipment can be taken to the second floor. Big rolling equipment, trucks, you take them to the city park and the City lets us put that kind of equipment on tractors, that kind of thing. But the other stuff, the lawn mowers, the small stuff, we put in the attic,

and this building has got a huge attic just like the smaller building we've got.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Steve, I think it would appropriate if we can set up a time when the Finance and Legal Affairs Subcommittee can meet and look at the property sometime in the next month or two.

MR. REEDER: Be fine. We'll set it up. We need to meet with them anyway because we need to consider another issue or so.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Any other question on the property at Lock and Dam 4?

Let's move on to the next agenda item.

I would like to welcome Estill County Judge Wallace Taylor
to provide a discussion for a proposal of the use of Lock and
Dam 12 property.

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you for this opportunity to be here today. It's a great privilege to come down and you all take some time out of your busy schedule to hear a proposal we've put together.

And I certainly do appreciate the young group for coming today to support me, and they can correct me when I get home on all the things I've done wrong today. And good to see young people try to get involved in government

and how the government works.

I think I sent a presentation and I think most of you have it in your packet. I'm not going to go hand-in-hand with this packet. It's just some ideas we've thrown together, some graphics that you may want to see to give you a better realization of what the Estill County lockhouses look like. Some of you may not have been fortunate to attend.

As County Judges, when we go into office, we're always looking to improve our community in many different ways. We look to utilize the resources that we have and take advantage of each and every one of them. I'm sure Judge Reese has done that for many years in Lee County.

One of the things I looked at in Estill County that we have nearly 20 miles of Kentucky River meandered through the middle of our county. We have two locks and a third within just a rock's throw up in Lee County.

And I look at that and see it's a water supply currently. A few fishermen. We're getting a new boat ramp. A few fishermen, water activities and that. But I look at one of the most hidden treasures we have there and the most relaxing atmosphere.

Many in our own office, we have waterfalls to relax. Being over at the lock property and hearing that water fall, we got to looking and thinking. These two beautiful structures, the older houses, deteriorating, of course. Both of them has got design flaws apparently in the roof because they're both damaged in the very same area. A couple of years ago, we had the opportunity to go through those houses and, to my surprise, they're in relatively good shape other than the roofs there.

We thought what a better way than working together with our local government -- we have three governments in Estill County -- all three governments support this project along with many other community groups -- and working with the Kentucky River Authority, we looked at why can't we restore these properties, these two lockhouse properties with a lease agreement that we could work with the Kentucky River Authority to preserve and protect the history of the Kentucky River there at the Lock 12 properties, restoring and repairing the roofs, restoring the structures to a point--one of the houses, what we want to look at putting in -- and I've heard it mentioned here earlier today -- a museum to educate our young people, our visitors and many of our old. I'm sure many of the young people here

today have really no idea of the importance of what the lock was at one time or another.

And to educate the people about the historical significance of the transportation of the Kentucky River. Even having a small video there showing the lock process. How many, unless you've been to a larger stream of water where they're still doing it, have seen that.

The other structure would be renovated into the upstairs portion as the living area. I've heard in other meetings that one of the problems at some of these other restoration projects is vandalism. Well, we felt like if we put a groundskeeper or a director lodge there that they could oversee and lock up at night and keep the grounds up and running.

Also, we've thought about a small restaurant in the bottom of one there promoting local grown produce during the season, as well as fixing up the outdoor areas there for picnic areas, shelters for family reunions and people could come and enjoy a day out next to the waterfalls.

Another part of the development you'll see in a proposal map there we've laid out is put into the lock there--I think on that side of the river there's about

eight and a half acres. We could put in nine to ten RV parking spots that we could rent out. One thing in Estill County we don't have any of those available when people come in to our Mushroom Festival and our Light-Up River Town and different events that we have.

Have some outdoor volleyball courts, a few horse shoe pits. It's adjacent to the Estill County Golf Course that maybe dads and moms playing nine rounds of golf, they could come and play volleyball or pitch some horse shoes.

Walking trails where people could better exercise around that area. Wouldn't be that long a trail but at least people could get some exercise. One of our elementary schools in our county has one of the highest obesity rates in the State of Kentucky that we're trying to combat.

We would also look to try to clean the beaches up. This is my first term in office; and over the last three years we've worked every spring in the River Sweep and we've focused on the beach area. Every year we see the tonnage or the pounds of garbage from that area going down. So, the river is getting cleaner.

Many have forgotten the historical

significance of the Kentucky River and its transportation.

And I remember as a child many years ago -- I was born and raised on the Kentucky River there in Estill County.

And I remember you could hear the tugboats when they came through the locks blowing their whistle and I could run a few 100 yards and watch that and it was amazing to see those large--Kentucky River looks big, but when they've got a big tug and a barge in it, it looks kind of small. To see them going up and down the river just amazed me. I know none of the kids here today have seen that and probably many others haven't, and I just thought what a sight to see, and have a video showing in that museum to educate the kids.

Funding for this project would come from many sources. We've actually started pursuing, due to some timelines, some grant applications through T-21. There are some historical monies. We've done some research.

Tourism dollars, historical dollars and also congressional appropriations.

Sometimes people are concerned. You can get some money to fix it, but how can you operate it.

We've got a plan to do that. We plan on with the RV pad rentals, which we think we would stay pretty busy, with the

income coming from renting the shelters out for family reunions, as well as the restaurant, refreshments served there -- and also in Estill County, just recently we levied a motel tax -- part of that money could go into promoting tourism -- that would be one of the biggest attractions in our county that people could come and see.

We're close to Natural Bridge, Red
River Gorge. We have the historical Pittsburgh furnace, and
we're only an hour away from most parts of Lexington; that
people could come and spend the afternoon.

In closing, this restoration would preserve part of Kentucky River history, educate our young and old, promote tourism for Central Kentucky.

Today, I would ask the Kentucky River
Authority to agree to lease or work with us on the property
with the Estill County Fiscal Court so that we can move
forward as we talk with an agreement that we can relieve
currently the maintenance off the ground.

I know I've heard much conversation today about budget issues. It's costly to come to Irvine to mow a few acres when we have the equipment that could do it there and gladly do it, to start moving forward.

And we can improve this area of the

Kentucky River by working together, the River Authority, the Estill County Fiscal Court, the YALL group and other concerned citizens to develop something there that everyone could utilize.

I'd be glad to answer any possible questions that the committee may have.

7 CHAIRMAN WARE: Any questions for Judge 8 Taylor?

MR. DAY: That was good, Judge.

MR. MARTIN: We have one of those at

11 | High Bridge.

5

6

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

12 MR. TAYLOR: Pardon?

MR. MARTIN: I said we have one at High Bridge, the observation deck, and we have picnic shelters and stuff. We don't have paddle boats but the palisades are there.

MR. TAYLOR: Well, I think one of our magistrates had talked and traveled in the western part of the state and talked about promoting tourism in our community and some day taking that project and maybe having river floats where a family could come on a Sunday afternoon after church, rent some innertubes and float down the river to the river restaurant, get in a van and be shuttled back.

Generate \$10, \$20. Where can a family have an afternoon of entertainment for \$20 dollars now and relax on the water? And it's a resource that we've got 3 there. Every elected official looks at creating jobs 5 throughout this state. If we promote our tourism, we create jobs. We have money coming in from other parts that we don't 6 have to provide this much infrastructure to maintain them. 8 CHAIRMAN WARE: Appreciate the proposal, Judge, and, obviously, we'll be a cooperator in 9 this effort. At the appropriate time, our Parks and 10 11 Recreation Subcommittee will need to initiate discussions 12 with you. Randall is the chairperson for that subcommittee. 13 You all need to sit down and propose a strategy and bring it to the full Board. You go ahead and work through staff on 14 that, Randall. 15 16 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Okay. 17 MR. GRIER: Would you be looking at leasing the entire parcel that the Corps once owned? 18 19 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. 20 MR. GRIER: That's what you would be looking at. How about the other side? 21 22 MR. TAYLOR: We'll be glad to take it

all. We're not going to pick and choose. I think with

23

future development plans, we would do some things in that area, too, to utilize that.

MR. GRIER: I presume you would promote, assuming that you got a restaurant out there, that would be promoted in town because it's not something you just sort of drive by.

MR. TAYLOR: No, it would be promoted, advertised, promoted in town, and I've had a lot of people come to me and say what a better place to have a restaurant. I eat at Jim's Seafood occasionally down here and I sit there after a hectic day on Capitol Hill and it just kind of relaxes you to get away from it.

And people would come, too. We have Cedar Village Restaurant there in Irvine that many people from Richmond, Lexington come there to eat. I had an office right next door to it and didn't hardly ever eat there, but people want something different. And if you had small outdoor picnic tables on a Saturday evening at the waterfall, people would come.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Is accessibility pretty convenient at this location?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. It's right off a secondary road. Is that a secondary road?

1	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
2	MR. TAYLOR: State road. Good access
3	into it. Beautiful landscape. Just the housesthey're
4	going to need some attention rather quickly on the roofs,
5	but other than that, I think they're in pretty good shape
6	considering no maintenance for quite some time.
7	MR. REEDER: It's virtually in the City
8	of Ravenna.
9	MR. TAYLOR: Yes.
10	MR. CHRISTOPHER: It's a great idea.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: We've got a young person
12	that would like to say just about two minutes about this
13	project. Could she have the floor
14	CHAIRMAN WARE: Sure.
15	MR. WILLIAMS:and support Judge
16	Taylor.
17	MR. TAYLOR: Thank you all.
18	CHAIRMAN WARE: Thank you, Judge
19	Taylor. If you all could introduce yourselves.
20	MS. PETERSON: We're representatives
21	from Irvine YALL group, the Youth Alliance for Leadership and
22	Learning. I'm Bonnie Peterson, and this is Afsi Siahkoohi.
23	We support Judge Taylor's proposal for

the lockhouse. We believe it will help our county with tourism which will help boost our downtown business recruitment and retention. We are trying to help economically develop our downtown. The lockhouse will help us in this process by drawing visitors in to utilize our businesses and hospitalities in the downtown area.

MS. SIAHKOOHI: This proposal will also give Irvine's residents something to do. We have to drive to Richmond to do most of anything and providing recreation activities like these will help bring the community together for the good of all, and we'll also have a lot of fun floating down that river.

And one of the other things is the historical park that he talked about. And one of our goals is to help get the community aware of Estill County's historical significance and the lockhouse and everything. So, that will help with that.

MS. PETERSON: And we would really appreciate your support and consideration of this proposal.

MS. SIAHKOOHI: Thank you.

MS. PETERSON: Thanks.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Thank you, ladies.

MR. REEDER: Mr. Chairman, we've got a

little history with this project anyway, or or at least it's been on the table before. It sort of died for lack of local promotion for a while before Judge Taylor came along.

The Corps of Engineers pressed us pretty hard to tear those houses down or they were going to tear them down a number of years ago. They still technically own that property. It's supposed to be deeded to us pretty soon. But they want to tear it down but there was enough local interest there. And, so, I guess I made the call at the time. I said, well, there is some interest here. There's not enough interest to promote it and push it right now, but we'll just keep the houses. So, we got the Corps of Engineers basically to preserve the houses by stripping the toxic lead paint off of them and repainting them and---

CHAIRMAN WARE: So, all the waste management issues have been resolved?

MR. REEDER: Yes, the environmental issues have been resolved. They did tear down some of the outbuildings. They were in a hurry to tear it all down but we stopped it.

And one other thing, too, along the line that the Parks Subcommittee is going to take up. We're imminently about to receive the deed to Dam No. 12 like the

rest of them.

A lot of what the Judge is saying will be more possible with the federal government leaving because they have a lot of restrictive conveyance and so forth on private enterprise making money off of it and you've got to have private enterprise or something like that or you've got to have a mixture of government probably and private to make something like that work.

And with the federal restrictions being eliminated when we receive the deed, then, that will allow us not only to look at that property but other such properties and figure out a more realistic way that these properties can be used. Maybe it's a mixed blessing that we didn't push it before because it strictly would have been government project at that time, and now it could conceivably have some private components mixed in with it which would make it feasible, make it work like you're talking about.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Yes, Randall.

MR. CHRISTOPHER: I just would like to thank the Board for entertaining the idea, and I certainly want to thank our students from Estill County and County Judge Wallace Taylor for coming, all the sponsors. And I hope I didn't leave somebody out there and I may have.

I wasn't for sure.

2 MR. WILLIAMS: Our Main Street Manager

3 is Jo Crawford.

MR. CHRISTOPHER: All right.

5 Appreciate that. Yes. Good to see you, Jo.

I want to say that we're very encouraged by this. This is something I know, Judge Taylor, we've talked about. Earl knows quite a bit historically about our county as well.

We need these kinds of things going on in smaller communities like this. I think it shows a lot of pride in what we're trying to accomplish with what we have. We have to be realistic with where we are, what we have, the means we have and what we can accomplish with the resources we have. And, certainly, the Kentucky River, as Judge Taylor pointed out, is very valuable to our county.

I don't want to go too far because I know we've got to get it to our subcommittee and we'll come back and we'll work on this, but I do thank the Board for being able to entertain this. I think this can be, as Stephen has pointed out, maybe a good model or good example that we can start with of what many pieces of our property can be like.

MS. ELLISTON: I would encourage you all to go to Lock and Dam 10 to see their facility.

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Uh-huh (Affirmative).

MR. REEDER: This one could be a better project than 10 because you could have some private components. You don't have to go through---

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes.

MR. REEDER: At 10. you've got to go through park rangers and get on park property before you get to it. This is probably one of the better-located ones in the system because it's almost in Ravenna. Like I said a while ago, you're five minutes from Irvine or Ravenna to get in to it and it's got a golf course already next to it.

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes.

MR. REEDER: It's already in a development type of scenario there. It's a pretty place, potentially could be. It's flat.

CHAIRMAN WARE: We're going to wait to see what your subcommittee brings back to us, Randall. The educational aspect of this is very important from a historical perspective and we get a lot of bang for our buck with water quality groups and all and grants for those activities. To involve the local young people in this I

think is a very interesting element. 2 MR. MARTIN: I bet you what they do on that, too, this project, what they've done could be in-kind 3 labor, I guess, because how hard is it to put up a horse shoe 5 pit---6 MR. CHRISTOPHER: That's right. 7 MR. MARTIN: --- and the soccer and some 8 of the other stuff. It's a great plan. 9 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Thank you. Thank you, young people. Now get back to school. No, no. 11 kidding. We've been enjoying the luxury of a couple of snow 12 days. So, it's all right. We'll take those two days. 13 CHAIRMAN WARE: Well, it's good they're here then because the next item on our agenda is educational 14 as well. I would like to welcome Kerry Prather with the 15 Division of Fisheries to discuss fish habitat on the Kentucky 16 River and tributaries. 17 18 MR. PRATHER: We've got a PowerPoint 19 presentation. It might take just a second to set that up. 20 CHAIRMAN WARE: Okay. Let's take a short break. 21 22 (OFF THE RECORD - RECESS)

CHAIRMAN WARE: Let's reconvene.

Next,

23

we'll have a presentation by Kerry Prather on fish habitats in the Kentucky River.

MR. PRATHER: I'm Kerry Prather with Fish & Wildlife Department, Fisheries Division. This is Jeff Crosby, a colleague. He works with me in the fisheries district. We cover 26 counties in Central Kentucky and on the main stem of the Kentucky River we're talking about eight pools. I've been in this district about 21 years and before that I worked six years in Eastern Kentucky and covered the Middle Fork and North Fork Kentucky River. So, I've done a lot of work on the river in the past 28, 29 years.

I want to give you a perspective of what we're talking about in the river and we'll go over some of the high points and maybe some of the low points. And I notice in sitting in on your discussion today, I heard nobody really mention the fisheries or fishing in the river, and maybe we can give you a reason why in our presentation here.

I was asked to give you a talk about the fish habitat in the river and that's what we're going to address and we'll tell you what's been going on, maybe what was there in the past, what's there now and maybe what the future looks like.

A little bit of information here you

probably already are aware of. Where the Kentucky River drains is one of the major water systems, watersheds in the state. Seven thousand square miles. Sixteen thousand linear miles of rivers and streams in the watershed. Four hundred and five miles of river, including North Fork in the Kentucky River.

Two hundred and fifty-five miles in length. You're well aware of 14 locks and dams. A little over 900 surface acres of water impounded by these dams. And we counted about 30 major tributaries, including the North, Middle and South Fork of the Kentucky Rivers, and these tributaries, by the way, on the main stem are pretty important to the fisheries of the main stem of the river.

Some of the fish found or information about the fish found you might see in the river. Don't be misled here. We have 115 species of fish in the Kentucky River draining. Most of these are in the smaller tributaries that feed the main stem. The main stem species--74 species list there. Not too bad but could be better. And then you have to look at the type of species that are there.

But almost 50% of all the fish found in the state of the different species, almost 50% are found in the Kentucky River drainage. Kentucky is third in the United

States with diversity of fish species. We have bragging rights. This is pretty good. Alabama and Tennessee are the only states ahead of us as far as diversity and this is because Kentucky was not really glaciated. Pretty much stopped there at the Ohio River, came down. We still have a lot of diverse fish habitat, a lot of drainages and a lot of diverse species.

Probably many of the species you might see or occur there you would probably never see unless you go out with a sain and beat the bushes. We put a couple of them here. There's a Green-Sided Darter. They're found around here in the streams.

But a lot of these fish are small fish.

Don't get much larger than 2, 3, 4 inches but very diverse.

A good number of Darters out there and Shinners and Minnows.

We also noted an unusual species here,
American Eel. This fish spawns in the Sargaso Sea or off the
Atlantic and Gulf stream area where you get the currents
there. It creates a current in the southern Atlantic Ocean.
These fish spawn there. The young migrate to the coast of
the US and Europe and the females ascend to rivers of the
United States. So, when you see an eel out here in Frankfort
that somebody has caught, it's a female American Eel that's

come all the way up to the Mississippi River, the Ohio River, the Kentucky River and here it is.

And you can see these in tributaries in Eastern Kentucky, small streams. I mean, they'll ascend up there. They live 7, 8, 10 years. Mature. The females leave, go all the way back down, out the Gulf of Mexico, meet the males there at the Gulf and go back to the Sargaso Sea and spawn. So, that fish has come a long way when you see them around here.

I'll go ahead and mention the 21 species of sport fish that are found in the Kentucky River drainage -- various bass species, Sun Fish, Marones as we call them, Croppies, things like this -- most of them sun fish species and we'll touch on those in a moment.

Seventeen species are what we call commercial fish, fish that are caught to sell as profit by commercial fishermen. We've got a few of those left in the Kentucky River. These will be the rough species, like Buffalo, Carp, Paddlefish, things like this.

I know that's a lot to read there and you can check that out. There are 20 recognized small mouth bass streams of which ten are considered significant small mouth bass streams. Now, the Kentucky River main stem is not

one of these. These are tributary stems like the Elkhorn Creek that feed the system.

We have six native muskee streams.

They're stocked annually by us to keep the populations going.

But, again, native muskee stream means they were found there historically and, if you haven't seen a Muskee, maybe we can --I don't know that we have a picture of one. Really unique fish, large, big teeth, fun to catch. Cave Run Lake is known for them, Green River Lake. Kentucky River was a native muskee stream as was the Licking River and a couple of minor streams, even the Green River, but a very unique fish are there and we do some limited stocking of these fish.

There are 16 trout streams in the drainage. Kentucky River is not. The closest thing would be the Dix River below Herrington Lake.

And then we mentioned brook trout streams there, high-quality trout streams, and some of these are reproducing trout streams, by the way, which is unusual.

A little information here of our stocking summary in the last five years. And I want to mention this; that ideally a good fish population, you don't have to stock it. You shouldn't have to maintain it by stocking. They should reproduce, take care of themselves and

be fine. If we have to stock it, there's a problem, whether or not it's bad habitat, poor habitat or angular exploitation, keeping the numbers down or the stream can't produce enough to maintain a quality fishery.

This shows you some of the species that we've stocked in the last five years, and the ones with the asterisks there on the left are the ones that were stocked primarily due to the Wild Turkey fish kill which we all remember back two years ago and it shows you some of the numbers

We have sampled the main stem Kentucky River. It was one of the first things we did and I really wanted to do in this district by electro fishing. We have a specialized boat that we use to sample for fish. We do it in all of our lakes and reservoirs, and we wanted to do the Kentucky River. We wanted to see what was there, to document, and our purpose was at the time to be able to do it was to say let's evaluate the bass population in the river. Let's see what's there.

So, what we want to show you here, we checked the first eight pools of the river and the numbers here represent catch rates. That's what we look at. In other words, fish per hour. If you can imagine an hour out

there sampling, idling along in this shocking boat, two people on the front dipping fish. This is the number of bass per hour that we picked up on average.

And you can see here Pool 1, a little over 18 fish per hour -- not great but one of the higher levels. And then Pool 7 was 18 as well.

To give you a comparison, here's Elkhorn Creek and you can see, this is large mouth. Elkhorn is small mouth habitat and look at the numbers of small mouth in Elkhorn Creek as compared to the Kentucky River up through here. So, it's not small mouth habitat, it's not really large mouth habitat, and it's not really Spotted Bass habitat as it is right now.

For comparison also, I wanted to put Herrington Lake here. It is Large Mouth Bass habitat. So, we have some good catch rates there of those fish. It's not small mouth habitat, and it's mediocre Spotted Bass habitat.

Meldahl Pool, the Ohio River, for comparison. You want to compare apples to apples. Ohio River just a larger version of the Kentucky River. We were able to find a few large mouth there but not in really great numbers as you would compare to Herrington. Same thing with small mouth and spotted. It's not small mouth or spotted

bass habitat.

Interesting note. Large mouth, Small Mouth, Spotted Bass are species of Black Bass. They have different habitat requirements. That's why they're different. Large mouth like standing water like your pond, a lake. Small mouth like flowing water with clean, rocky substrate. Flowing water. Spotted Bass is kind of in between. Our state fish. And they're a stream fish as well. They just don't usually get as big as the large mouth. But I want you to be aware each bass has its own preferred habitat.

A lot of numbers here also. But Walleye and Sauger, we thought we would mention this because it's part of the study that's coming up that I'll tell you about in just a minute. Best habitat for Sauger in the river is the lower end of the Kentucky River. You've got the Ohio River influence. First couple of pools we have Sauger that move up from the Ohio River and that's about it. I mean, they are found throughout the main stem but just not in very good numbers.

Walleye are there as a result of our stocking some reservoirs in Eastern Kentucky, particularly Carr Creek Reservoir, and some limited stocking in the

Kentucky River headwaters to try to get this fish established.

Commercial fishes. The point of this slide really -- and I'll hit the high points here -- is really to show you that commercial fishing on the Kentucky River is pretty minimal. Very low. We don't have a lot of commercial fishermen out there, not making a lot of profit on hook-netting Buffalo, Carp, Ruffus, Drum, things like this.

Like in '04, we had like ten commercial fishermen. Two thousand and three there was like four. And then the numbers in parenthesis are the numbers of commercial fishermen.

Species there, Channel Catfish, Blue
Cat, Flathead Cat, Buffalo, Carp, various Sucker species and
Carp, Carp Suckers, Paddlefish, Paddlefish eggs and drum or
White Perch as some people call it. But that's just to give
you some ideas of the figures there. It's pretty
insignificant as far as the statewide total goes. For
instance, this percentage number means one-tenth of 1% of the
total commercial fish produced or captured in the state came
from the Kentucky River. Pretty low.

I want to give you a little idea of some habitat here. I'm sure you've been out there. You've

seen it, where we find fish when we're sampling the river, where we're shocking the river.

This is about the best slide we could come up with at the spur of the moment for rocky shoreline where you get out croppings of rocks whether or not it's a slide or anything at all to break the current to create refuge behind the current is where the large mouth are going to be.

Major tributary mouths where you have a creek coming in, a creek mouth, you have a standing water effect. It breaks the current. Fish will be there -- Muskee, Black Bass, things like this.

Here's the rocky shoals and bars. Same thing. Breaking the current, blocking it. Fish will be around that.

Woody debris, say like some slide, some trees standing there. They may not be standing very long but you do get slide. Any debris at all, blocks the current, there will be some fish behind it.

The best habitat on the main stem

Kentucky River, right in there, right below the dams. With

the splash, with the spill-over, you have a clean, rocky

substrate. No silt deposition. Things are cleaned out.

High in oxygen content. It's aerated. Fish congregate there. Fish species, the food fish as well as the predators.

Bass, even though they don't like current, they'll get in behind the lockwalls. They'll get in behind any structure they can, and they'll be a lot of shad in these areas and they're feeding on shad, small shinners, small bait fish. Cat fish will be in there. Muskee can be there.

Jeff was out there today. We were collecting fish for a mussel project, for hosts to congregate mussels out of the forks of the Elkhorn. This is Lock and Dam No. 4. I'm sure you'll recognize. I guess it was right here. A very large Muskee came up right there at the outfall of Buffalo Trace's outfall. Big Muskee there hanging out, feeding on Suckers and Shad. It's that time of year. They're an early spawner. The big Muskee are out there.

But this is the best place to fish. If you were going to fish or when we're shocking, when we shock on down here and say all the way down to Monterey, it's pretty boring. There's not much. You shock and you don't see much. An occasional rough fish, occasional Bluegill. It's pretty tough. And we've tried day and night sampling. Not real good fish population.

I'll tell you a little bit about of fisheries research project. It just so happens we're going to start this this year. It's a five-year project to stock these three species in the river and we're going to try to establish fisheries there because there's not much there for people to go after.

If the Kentucky River was like Elkhorn Creek, I'm sure there would be people here talking about the small mouth fishery. We just notice that nobody today had mentioned -- in the brief time we were here anyway -- had mentioned the fishery there or the fishing or the draw, and the reason why is just the habitat is poor. It's not a river and it's not a lake. Too much flow to be a lake, not enough flow to be a river. And all these species are adapted for one or the other. It could be one or the other. They prefer it for both there's spawning habitat, the feeding habitat, organisms; and when it's not there, the fish aren't going to be there.

We'll get comments or complaints depending on the weather patterns. The Kentucky River is best when we have a drought; and when we have one or two years or three years of drought in a row, everything is dandy. Everything is good. People are catching Bass,

Croppie and Bluegill maybe because the numbers build up, maybe from immigration, reproduction or whatever.

But as soon as it starts raining again and we get more flow, they're gone and then we get, well, what's Eastern Kentucky doing. Somebody is polluting the fish or killing the fish. What happened to the fishery and the fishing in the Kentucky River. And, inevitably, it's flowing again. They're gone and it takes time to build things up.

Last year with the drought, the numbers have come back up. If we have another drought this year, it will get better for the standing water species. The river run species not so good.

But these are kind of a mixture of some species here. These two are natives. This is the Sauger.

If you can make that picture out there. It's a cousin to the Walleye, a native fish we have here.

This is a White Bass. They're somewhat migratory. They'll move. They like flowing water. Sauger fishing coming on right now. It's been good all winter in the Ohio River.

White Bass will run March and April into early May and run, meaning they make a spawning run.

They congregate. People catch them. They have a good time with them. They like them.

Hybrid Striped Bass is kind of a super White Bass. It's a cross between a Striped Bass and our native White Bass. Manmade fish we put out there. It's aggressive and it gets big and, so, people like to catch big fish. So, we produce these in our hatchery. We can stock them different areas. So, we're going to try them in the river.

This is just where we're going to put these fish, the stocking rate, the total number stocked. You can see Pools 4 thru 9 for the Hybrids, the White Bass and Sauger 4 thru 14. Probably headwater areas would be best. And these fish will move around depending on flow. We'll get some movement.

Five per acre. I mean, that's about a standard rate. If we were to do a reservoir or the lake, that's about our stocking rate, a basic recommended stocking rate for those fish. Could be a little higher depending on fishing rate.

Here's our limitations. On the Kentucky River, of course, access is a problem. We've always kept our eye out for improving access to the Kentucky River.

But with the palisades, it's hard to find, hard to get. So, we do have some pools, more than others, access can be a problem. Like Pool 13, there's no boat ramps.

Tail water access. You have the danger factor but that's where the fish are. And there's very few ramps or you have to boat up to these areas. But that's where the fishing is on the Kentucky River main stem. It's going to be below these dams.

And the limited habitat. Some much main stem river, so little habitat. It's only at the creek mouths, like I said, and the tail water areas. I wouldn't waste my time on the main stem. If you're going after numbers and you want something to eat go to where the fish are.

Fish passage. We're not locking now. Limited passage. Limited movement. Restricted to flooding.

We thought we would throw this out also. The non-native species introduction. When we had the Wild Turkey kill, we found out we had Big Head Carp in the river, and, I mean, big head is an understatement. These fish get large. It's an exotic from Asia. Arkansas felt the need in their commercial fish propagators to bring these fish over and they're illegal in Kentucky, but they're out and

they're in the system and here they are. They're in the Kentucky River.

It looks like a small person. I mean, they're that big. They're an algae eater. They're a filter feeder. You probably wouldn't catch one unless it's an accident on hook and line, but a large fish. In the fish kill, we saw these big things four feet long floating in the river. Big Head Carp were here.

The next one is the Silver Carp. It's on its way. Same thing. Arkansas introduction. Watch where you go. In West Kentucky if you're waterskiing, you could be a target. When you see the pictures of the fish that jump, that's a Silver Carp.

They are in the Salt River and that's Louisville. I'm sure that they're coming up the Ohio. They will be in the Kentucky, and they're pretty--I'm mean they jump. They can hit you. It's happened. It's pretty wild. But it's an exotic brought in from fish farming and escaped. So, we'll be contending with that.

Also Zebra Mussels. I'm not sure how far up the river the Zebras have moved. They're definitely here. They've been in Frankfort for a number of years. The last I heard -- and maybe someone knows more than I do on

this -- I want to say about Pool 8, Pool 9, something like that, So, I'm sure you know the problem with those.

Not only with intakes of pipes but also our native mussel farm and crayfish. They'll colonize anything and everything with a hard surface and they'll smother and kill what native mussels we have out there. So, that is definitely a concern and a problem.

I guess the point I want to say is a lot of people think when they see water and they drive across the bridge and they see the Kentucky River and they think, wow, water and fishing. That's not the case. And we really have no idea what the fishery of the Kentucky River was like on the main stem. The dams have been in there for so long. The fishery has been impacted so long. They were built before anybody cataloged what was even in the Kentucky River historically.

But it's our job to try to put some fish there, work with what we have. That's why the program we've got--and really nothing was ever done with the Kentucky River as far as a stocking program or an establishment of a fishery beyond incidental stocking. Because the river is close to a hatchery, the Frankfort fish hatchery, sometime when there was excess fish, it's close. Put them in the

river. And, so, we got some bass that way and maybe even some catfish. Ouestions? MR. REESE: I'm from Lee County, Lock

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

21

22

23

What is being done towards stopping those three tributaries, Middle Fork, North Fork and South Fork?

MR. PRATHER: Those forks got the Walleye and Sauger before main stem--it was done. Where it drops out -- and I've shocked the North Fork and the Middle Fork -- where it slows down and drops out, it's poor habitat as far as game fish. There's Muskee there. Just not great habitat. It has the same problem. But we have stocked Sauger. Sauger has been stocked there for a number of years.

13 MR. REESE: How long has it been since you shocked those three? 14

The Upper, Middle and MR. PRATHER: North Fork? 16

17 MR. REESE: (Nods affirmatively).

MR. PRATHER: I don't have those. 18 Now,

19 I'm not sure when they did them. I did it back in the mid eighties. 20

I talked to the MR. REESE: Commissioner and he said they would try to add fish here in intervals. We have the running water. We have the rocks.

We have the woods. The river has been cleaned up. being cleaned up. It's hard for me to understand. course, I've fished all over the country. I can throw a rock in the river. I live about the Middle Fork and North Fork and I have to go to, say, Cumberland River or someplace else, 5 Alabama or Tennessee, and I can't understand. 6 7 MR. PRATHER: Look at the silt. Look at the river where you live and then look at the Cumberland 8 River. Cumberland is clean, rocky, flowing. And where you 9 are it's standing, mud, silt possibly. 10 11 MR. REESE: But the South Fork---12 MR. PRATHER: Yes. 13 MR. REESE: ---there's no---MR. PRATHER: Right. It's different. 14 MR. REESE: Yes. 15 MR. PRATHER: And it has the small 16 mouth fishery. There's Muskee there. Whether or not there's 17 a less amount of historic mining in the area but it has 18 always been better. The South Fork has always been better, 19 clean, flowing, rocky habitat, and its tributaries. 20 MR. REESE: The Middle Fork comes out 21 22 of---

MR. PRATHER:

Buckhorn.

Yes.

23

MR. REESE: ---the Buckhorn Dam and it's a good muskee fish in there. I catch myself in the Middle Fork and just feel--if you've got a depth finder, a fish finder on your boat and you go up the river and you very seldom see a fish.

MR. PRATHER: It's not small mouth habitat and it's not large mouth habitat, though. It's not clean and rocky all the way. I mean it's just not good habitat.

MR. REESE: I catch quite a few small mouth last year there at the forks but it's nothing like anybody that goes up---

MR. PRATHER: Yes, yes. Habitat is the key. It's not so much pollution. We have our pollution occurrences. Whether it's mining or whatever, Wild Turkey, it happens and it recovers.

Probably our biggest pollutant is silt.

A lot of people aren't aware of this, but mud and silt is the biggest problem in all of our streams in this state. And when you have that deposition carpeting the bottom, covering up the habitat, the critters can't live. The fish don't have food. They're going to leave. They're not going to be there. That's one of our biggest problems.

1	CHAIRMAN WARE: What I hear you saying,
2	Kerry, is that just based on the nature, at least on the main
3	stem, the nature of the system we've got there and have had
4	for for the last hundred some odd years
5	MR. PRATHER: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN WARE:there's significant
7	limitations as to what you could ever have from a fishery's
8	perspective.
9	MR. PRATHER: Exactly.
10	CHAIRMAN WARE: There's really nothing
11	you can do about it.
12	MR. PRATHER: Nothing we can do
13	CHAIRMAN WARE: There's no modification
14	of species that would thrive in that environment.
15	MR. PRATHER: The best effort is what
16	you saw on the board there it's a Band-Aid is try to
17	put something there, let them grow, let them be caught as far
18	as
19	CHAIRMAN WARE: Since we've
20	significantly curtailed locking operations, has that affected
21	the fishery much
22	MR. PRATHER: We don't know.

CHAIRMAN WARE: ---with respect to

23

migration and---

MR. PRATHER: I'd say it has blocked it. It would have to of blocked it. But as far as improving or hurting, it probably doesn't matter.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Not measurable.

MR. PRATHER: Yes.

MR. CROSBY: Restocking seems to be the

key.

MR. PRATHER: If we can produce enough, that's it, and then we have other demands. So, we have to make space for these fish. I mean, they're making room for these to produce these. We don't have an unlimited supply at two hatcheries.

MR. REESE: Any future plans for restocking above 14?

MR. PRATHER: Above 14, not that I know of other than what you see right there. White Bass and Sauger will move up if they can. I did a catfish study there in the North Fork and Middle Fork when I was in the mountains and it's limited to habitat. Clean, rocky bottom channel cats will be there.

A lot of people think that catfish fishing is good where it's muddy, slow standing water. Not

the case with Channel cats. They like clean, rocky habitat, and we found that out up there in your backyard. We shocked right there where you're talking about.

And North Fork where it's clean flowing, clean bottom, the fish were there. And it was funny. You could go above Jackson or below Jackson or below Hazard where it's silty -- very few fish. Where it's clean bottom, fish were there. Habitat-related.

And stocking, it's always been our--I mean it's known. I mean, you can put them in there but they're going to leave until they find their habitat. If the habitat is not there, they're not going to stay if they can move for the most part.

CHAIRMAN WARE: I noticed a big dropoff in the Paddlefish. You had a chart that showed the text
of certain species of fish and there was a big drop in early
2000. Was that due to the--I know the bourbon---

MR. PRATHER: Right.

CHAIRMAN WARE: ---spill killed a number of Paddlefish or was it just a shift in the commercial taking?

MR. PRATHER: It's probably a shift in the commercial taking. And what you saw there, a lot of

people don't realize what they're looking for primarily are females with eggs. It takes them eight to ten years to mature and that's what reported. Now, we know that there's some not reported, but, right. So after the kill, we restocked Paddlefish, but it's going to take them time for the females to grow large enough to be taken. And that's caviar by the way. It's the big market for caviar coming on.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Where did you all bring

9 that stock in?

MR. PRATHER: Terry Shoe helped us with those. Some we had to go out of state like the Flathead cats.

MR. CROSBY: I think they had a pond in Western Kentucky in which they pooled. I think there was 81,000. I think they brought the majority of them--I think it's close to 80,000 of that 81,000 were brought from that pond and they were, I think, three to five pounds.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Okay.

MR. PRATHER: We don't propagate everything. A lot of people think we spawn all kinds of species but we don't, just limited game fish and what we can and what's feasible to do.

MR. CROSBY: Some of those fish

species, like the Crappie, were purchased from other states. 2 MR. PRATHER: Out of state. Flathead 3 catfish. CHAIRMAN WARE: But genetically they 4 were comparable to what we have around here. 5 6 MR. PRATHER: Yes, as far as we know. 7 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Just a side note. We 8 had a tournament up at Beattyville above Lock 14. Put in there at City Ramp. I think there was 12, 14 boats. But 14 9 small mouth were weighed in. That's pretty significant. 10 11 MR. PRATHER: That's good. 12 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes. That's a huge 13 difference. That was several years ago. MR. PRATHER: It would be interesting 14 to see where -- not to interrupt -- if they go up creek 15

mouths. Some of our main stem shocking might even be

misleading because a lot of these fish were collected up

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

creek mouths.

MR. CHRISTOPHER: That's correct.

MR. PRATHER: Back water areas. The majority of them were not from the main stem habitat. They were creek mouths and tail water. And the bass tournament here in Frankfort, a lot of people will run all the way up to

below 5 to fish or in Benson Creek. MR. REESE: What does one of these 2 finger ones cost, say two inch finger one, the---3 What do they cost to MR. PRATHER: raise? 5 6 MR. REESE: Yes, to purchase. Say you 7 purchased them out of state like---MR. PRATHER: 8 I'm not sure what they--I don't know. I don't know what they charge our---9 MR. REESE: In other words, if a local 10 11 government would be interested you couldn't---12 MR. PRATHER: You would have to cost 13 share through us. Nobody can stock the waters of Commonwealth but the Department by regulations. 14 MR. REESE: Is that possible, though? 15 I meanm could we---16 17 MR. PRATHER: Cost share? MR. REESE: Yes. 18 MR. PRATHER: We would have to see. 19 То be honest with you, if it would do some good, if it's 20 something feasible. I meanm if it's lacking--that's what 21 22 we're hoping to find out with this. If there's something that will do well there that's lacking, we'll do it. We 23

would like to do it, but I'm not sure what it would be. If the habitat is there, they'll reproduce. See what I mean? They're going to be there.

MR. CROSBY: We are learning. We've worked quite a bit on the Elkhorn with small mouth and they've been doing some studies on what we have stocked some small mouth, female and small mouth, and following them and trying out some of this weather—this controlling of Lock 2. You get these really rainy years and that reproduction is gone for the year. So, I mean we're finding out that stocking isn't necessarily always the answer or the answer. I mean, there's a lot of other factors that are going on within these systems. It's very complicated. In some years, it works and some years it just does not.

MR. PRATHER: Now, what he's saying is stocked fish don't always do as well as naturally-produced fish of the same species. Stocked small mouth don't do as well as those that are spawned there from hosts we've marked. And we have checked them. We've watched. The Elkhorn has been studied very closely and we found that out. You can't beat Mother Nature with its cycles and production.

MR. REESE: I understand--of course, I've fished all my life. I fished in the river. In fact,

when I was younger, say middle age, there was no such thing, the Walleye or Sauger in the river up there. Now they are hitting pretty regular.

MR. PRATHER: Sauger have been stocked over the past 15, 20 years. It was one that was first looked at particularly in the South Fork, even Muskee, because they were native muskee streams. The South Fork is a native muskee stream. And when I was up there, I recommended for Middle Fork, Buckhorn Lake and North Fork; so, they have Muskee, and we've done the Walleye and Sauger. But stocking is not always the answer. You've got to have the habitat to support it.

MR. MARTIN: Is your funding mostly from fishing licenses?

MR. PRATHER: Right. Licenses with federal match. It's a federal tax on sporting goods, gasoline, marine fuel.

MR. MARTIN: You all haven't been cut any?

MR. PRATHER: Cut limitations. I couldn't begin to go into all that, but right. So far so good.

MR. WELTY: If I read your chart right,

you didn't do any restocking in 1 thru 3 for a reason.

MR. PRATHER: Influence of the Ohio River. Standing water. We thought it would be best to go from there up. There's a fairly good number of sauger in the lower end coming from the Ohio River. So, we wanted to supplement up above, particularly since movements are limited -- I mean that's a factor -- but to try to establish those populations through the river.

MR. CROSBY: Plus, those fish will move down a whole lot easier than they will move up. A lot of these fish that are stocked up in those pools will move down into 2 and 3.

MR. PRATHER: Yes. Lock and Dam No. 1 being under water often is not a barrier. So, we get them up

to 2. And sampling has shown we get those fish in 3. Four up is what we concentrate our efforts.

MR. REESE: You can stock them up home where the Kentucky River starts and that way---

MR. PRATHER: They would filter down.

MR. CROSBY: You may get some up at 14.

22 It's a neat area.

MR. WELTY: You would catch them all,

Judge, before it got down there. 2 MR. REESE: I'll catch them up there. 3 MR. PRATHER: Yes. That's good. Any I didn't mean to overspend my time. other questions? 5 MR. CHRISTOPHER: We appreciate the 6 efforts. 7 MR. PRATHER: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN WARE: Kerry, thanks a lot. Jeff, thanks for the presentation. 9 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes. 10 MR. PRATHER: Good to be here. 11 12 MR. CROSBY: Yes. Thank you all. 13 CHAIRMAN WARE: I do have one question for you all that affects a project that we've been very 14 interested in and that's efforts to possibly raise the crest 15 elevation, the permanent crest elevation at Dam 10. 16 17 One mitigating factor on that was possible installation of a fish ladder. How important do you 18 19 think, particularly given what you presented today and the cost of that particular element, how important do you think 20 that would be in conjunction with that project? 21 22 MR. PRATHER: Because I was the one

that threw in the fish ladder, by the way. That's funny you

23

say that or suggested that. It was just to not impede movement in the river. That would definitely be more of a barrier. White Bass and Sauger are migratory, the eel, Paddlefish, Walleye, even bass to some extent, catfish. And it just will allow movement from there up above and below. It would be a good tourist attraction. We don't have one in the state. I'm not doing it just for that reason but it's mainly to allow movement.

CHAIRMAN WARE: So, you all could cost share with us on that then.

MR. PRATHER: I can't say that. I just turn on the shocking box. But also access. We'll stress that again also. I mean, access points there are premium. I don't know how the old Corps' properties, lock and dam properties will move, sell. We're definitely open to that. We're always looking for more access to the river, especially those areas with limited access. So, we would love to work with you on that if that ever were possible.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Okay. Thanks, guys.

MR. PRATHER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Okay. The next item on the agenda will be engineer's report from David Hamilton.

MR. HAMILTON: Well, luckily I don't

have any new business to bring before the board other than just to update you on the projects that we've got going on.

The first being at Locks 5, 6 and 7 cut-off walls. At this time, all three cut-off walls and their corresponding water release valves have been constructed. The contractor has still got some minor work left to do such as putting up handrails, installing the hardware that goes along with the water release valves so expect that to probably be done within the next month. So, I should be able to do a final report on that at our next Board meeting.

The other major project that we've got going on, of course, is the Dam 10 project. And, if you'll recall, there was some interim work that the Corps wanted to do there primarily consisting of placing concrete at the toe of that dam.

Free Contracting was the contractor there; and after about a month of fighting high water, they decided to go ahead and pull out and wait until about June when the water typically starts to not flood quite as easily as it does during the winter and spring. So, that project there has come to a standstill, but those are the two big projects that we've got going on.

MR. GRIER: David, does that project 1 put a cut-off wall into the lock chamber? 3 MR. HAMILTON: It did originally. financial reasons, funding reasons, that part of it was left out. It actually involved the construction of a cut-off wall 5 similar to what we've got. About a two and a half, three foot thick concrete wall built into the lock chamber as well as rockfill inside the lock chamber to help stabilize the 8 lockwalls, but all of that was cut out. It was primarily 9 addressing the downstream tow of the main dam. 10 11 MR. GRIER: So, what's holding the dam? 12 Is just a single gate, the upstream gate, is that all that's down there? 13 MR. HAMILTON: Right. Yes, the upper 14 end of those are closed. 15 16 MR. GRIER: Yes. Oh, yes. 17 MR. HAMILTON: Yes. 18 CHAIRMAN WARE: Have they poured the cut-off wall at 5 now? 19 MR. HAMILTON: Yes, that's been poured. 20 21 CHAIRMAN WARE: They're pretty much 22 through at that location? They've still got 23 MR. HAMILTON: Yes.

some site clean-up. Like I said, they've got some minor detail work like putting up handrails, the hardware for the release valves, stuff of that nature. But as far as substantial completion, it's been done.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Any other questions for David? Thanks, David. Steve, you got a Director's report?

MR. REEDER: Just very briefly. The next meeting, if we stay with this format of having a meeting the third Wednesday of the month, if we stay with that format -- and there's been some interest in selecting one day and staying with it -- the next meeting would be a month from day, the 15th of March.

And we probably should have a meeting. We don't always have one every month. We average about eight a year. Well, we probably should have one in light of these legislative matters that are going on, the budget matters. So that would be three weeks, the third week in March.

As we've spoken through this meeting, we need to have the Parks and Recreation Committee convene to take up the Estill County matter, and we need probably the Water Quality Subcommittee to discuss the watershed grants under the watershed management contract that are being

developed by UK.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Along that same line, the Water Quality Subcommittee should look at the progress of the Source Water Protection Contract to see if we want to continue with that as a component of our Watershed Management Program.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Are we set on those subcommittees now. Susan, are you on board as chairman of the Water Quality Committee?

MS. KAYLA ELLISTON: Water Quality.

MS. SUE ANN ELLISTON: She is the chair

11 of Water Quality.

MR. REEDER: You're the Chair.

MS. BUSH: I am?

MR. REEDER: Yes.

MS. BUSH: I knew I was on the

committee. I didn't know the Chair part.

CHAIRMAN WARE: The only reason I was asking, I know you've got a little on your plate right now.

MS. BUSH: Just a tad. Just a tad.

MR. REEDER: And we will need a meeting of the Finance Committee probably to deal with the budget maybe as we go along and the Finance Committee I think will be the proper venue to look at that property one day in

Bellepoint that we're talking about buying just to view it if nothing else. Just look at it. Those subcommittees, maybe others, we may have to deal with in the next month or so prior to the next meeting. 5 MS. SUE ANN ELLISTON: Actually, we need Water Quality Subcommittee needs to meet before the 6 Board the week of March the 14th. 8 MR. REEDER: Why is that? 9 MS. SUE ANN ELLISTON: It's because their deadline for the applications is February 28th and UK 10 11 is wanting them to do the agreements and have them all---12 MR. REEDER: Okay. UK's deadline 13 for---MS. SUE ANN ELLISTON: Yes, and have 14 everything done by April and awarded by you all. 15 MR. REEDER: Yes. Yes, I knew she 16 17 wanted one---MS. SUE ANN ELLISTON: 18 19 MR. REEDER: ---for that. Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN WARE: Well, Susan is busy. 21 Since I don't have a chairman of that subcommittee, I'll work 22 with her on that.

MR. REEDER: One other piece of

23

business, since we met last, I had a meeting with Congressman Chandler about our Dam 10 project. Dam 10, as you know, was Congressman Fletcher's project. It's a congressional ADD. And with the Corps of Engineers being our contractor, it's 80% federal, 20% state, 20% water fee users.

And the first couple of years of this current administration after Governor Fletcher vacated that seat, we did not really have any need for anything. Upcoming federal fiscal year we need money. We need money to carry on the completion of that—well, the work we're doing now is funded on the dam, the remedial work, but we need some money—\$1 million according to the Corps—we need \$1 million for the Corps to complete the Decision Document which will tell us what kind of final improvement or what kind of final decision that we'll be looking at there. We can't go to design or do anything else until that comes, and, so, that's the next step. That has to be funded.

So, I talked to Mr. Chandler about that. We met for about an hour. I forget when it was. It was something mid January. I think it was the 18th of January. And he was receptive to it. He was not fully versed on it. He didn't know a lot about it. He knew of it, of course, by reading the papers but he didn't know a lot of

what his role was.

And it was an encouraging meeting. I guess how encouraging, it will depend on how much success he has with it or how he receives it. The proof will be in what we get out of it. He asked the right questions at any rate such as who in the Corps he needed to talk to to get the proper numbers to ask for and some technical things that made me feel a lot better when I left it.

So, we're pursing that. We've got to pursue that because that's the way that system works. It's a \$24 million project. It will be a much more expensive project but the government is committed to a program that takes us up to \$24 million. Nineteen and a half of it is federal and the rest of it is state.

The way it works is that it's never fully funded -- none of those programs are -- until the Congressman, which is the sponsor -- and he's the only fellow that can be the sponsor because he now holds that congressional seat -- he has to ask for it. He has to ask the Corps for the amount of money they need and then he, in turn, has to take that certified number from them and take that to Congress and put that in an appropriation bill.

And, of course, even if he asks for it,

it's not guaranteed. It's no guarantee when any congressman asks for those things. The authorization stays where it is but there's not any guarantee that the Congress is ever going to pass one of them. At any rate, I've done that and I'm anxiously awaiting to see what kind of action might result from that.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Any questions for

8 Steve?

And the Chair's Report. I don't have a lot to say right now. I will express my appreciation for each of your votes of confidence today.

I've been on the Board for going on seven years now. When I first started, I was still a bureaucrat with the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Agency. I spent 28 years in state government, half of which was as the Assistant Director for the Division of Water and we started the watershed protection program in Kentucky going on a decade ago.

So, my agenda is basically what you all have seen as you've attended the last few meetings and what the River Authority has been about with respect to trying to secure the source on the river for all the water supply users, but I also am very close to water quality issues and

will reiterate my ongoing support for water quality research and response to the river because there's no use having a quantity of the resource if the quality is not good. That's something that's near and dear to my heart.

But if any of you have any questions of me, if there's something that's not--you all come on the Authority I'm sure with issues and somewhat of an agenda. So, if it's not being addressed, be sure to let me know. I'm free. I'm retired. So, call me at home at anytime.

MS. BUSH: He's rubbing it in.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Huh?

MS. BUSH: Don't rub it in.

CHAIRMAN WARE: Area code 502/839-4696 or Sue Ann has got my wife's email address. So, you can get in touch with me if you need to.

Is there any other business that the Board would like to bring up at this point in time? Any business from the audience that you would like to bring before the Board? If not, I'll entertain a motion for adjournment.

MR. WELTY: Move we adjourn.

CHAIRMAN WARE: I have a motion.

MS. BUSH: And I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN WARE: We'll see some of you before March 15th in subcommittee meetings. (MEETING ADJOURNED) STATE OF KENTUCKY COUNTY OF FRANKLIN

I, Melinda L. Sparks, a notary public, in and for the state and county aforesaid, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true, correct and complete transcript of the proceeding taken down by me in the abovestyled matter taken at the time and place set out in the caption hereof; that said proceeding was taken down by me in shorthand and afterwards transcribed by me; and that the appearances were as set out in the caption hereof.

Given under my hand as notary public aforesaid, this the 8th day of March, 2006.

Notary Public State of Kentucky at Large

My commission expires January 14, 2007.